

PERFORMANCE AGREEMENT

MADE AND ENTERED INTO BY AND BETWEEN:

SENQU MUNICIPALITY

"(Hereinafter referred to as the employer)"
Represented by

The Municipal Manager Mr M.M Yawa

and

Mr K.S. CHAPHI

Municipal Development and Town Planning Director "(Hereinafter referred to as the employee)"

2022/2023

PERFORMANCE AGREEMENT: PART A

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 The Employer has entered into a contract of employment with the Employee in terms of section 57(1) (a) of the Local Government: Municipal Systems Act 32 of 2000 ("the Systems Act"). The Employer and the Employee are hereinafter referred to as "the Parties".
- 1.2 Section 57(1) (b) (ii) of the Systems Act, read with the Contract of Employment concluded between the parties, requires the parties to conclude an annual performance agreement within one (1) month after the beginning of each financial year of the Municipality.
- 1.3 The parties wish to ensure that they are clear about the goals to be achieved and secure the commitment of **both the Employer the Employee** to a set of outcomes that will secure Local Government policy goals.
- 1.4 Section 57(1) (a) (b), (4A), (4B), (4C) and (5) of the Systems Act; No 32 of 2000 and subsequent amendments (the Systems Act, No Act 7 of 2011), read with the Contract of Employment concluded between the parties, requires the parties to conclude an annual performance agreement within 60 days after the beginning of the financial year. The updated Performance review of the previous year will occur no later than July each year.
- 1.5 In its comprehensive version, this Performance Agreement is made up of five components, namely.
 - 1.5.1 PART A: The generic contextualizing agreement, which is not to be measured / assessed for performance but rather sets the legal and relationship context and stage for all other subsequent assessable sections / parts of this performance agreement.
 - 1.5.2 PART B (Approximate weighting = 80%): Employee's Core Contributory Performance Top Layer SDBIP-Based Scorecard Issues. It is the Performance Plan for which this executive employee alone leads and is accountable for achieving the specific and unique outcomes set out for his / her department across the whole municipality in the Top Layer SDBIP. Part B does not focus on outcomes that a generic for all executives performed within and for their departments. It is the first measurable Part, wherein measurable targets in all unique Strategic (from the TL SDBIP) and Functional (From Job Profiles) outcomes and objectives have been set. For brevity, these outcomes and objectives must come from all only those KPAs wherein the executive employee's work cannot be generalized with that of her / his other executive peers (in Part C below). The individual executive employee targets are based on the (i) Top Layer (Institutional) and (ii) Departmental /Directorate SDBIPs objectives and targets wherein the

- section 57 Manager plays a unique significant leadership contribution (iii) whatever strategic targets set in the Executive's Employment Contract Performance Clause.
- 1.5.3 PART C (approximate weighting = 0%): This is a crucial part of the executive employee's scorecard. It measures those aspects of work that all executives at this level are expected to perform on and achieve working as Heads for their departments. Since all executive directors do most of their work through other employees below them (subordinate / divisional managers), at this executive level, this Part C carries the greatest weighting of all the other scorecards in the Performance Agreement. It plans out and measures all departmental level strategic (in the departmental SDBIP) and generic / core managerial competence areas largely found in the functional list of the executive's COGTA Job Profile list of activities and outputs. Though most important, these core managerial competences (CMC and Critical Competence Requirements (CCRs), these are not unique. They are generic competences and performances that every section 57 executive employee must perform and be assessed on. These cover all 6 KPAs though mostly weighted heaviest on KPAs 3 (Financial Management & Viability); KPA 4: Institutional Transformation and Municipal Development) and KPA 5: Good Governance & Public These come from annually selected and prioritized Core Managerial Participation). Competences (CMCs) and Core Competency Requirements (CCRs). This selection process in this year has been streamlined to pick and use only those aspects which are already listed in the various Directors & MM's Job Profiles combined with those leadership roles implied in achieving each of the Top Layer SDBIP objectives and targets unique to the department that the executive leads.
- 1.5.4 PART D (0%): Antecedent Input Behaviours. These are strategically winning behaviours that define what an individual must do in order that they succeed in leading strategic performance in the municipality and in their department. These have been given a weighting of zero - meaning they will be understood to be applicable in future but are not measured this Financial Year as a way of not slowing down the overwhelming parts of change management. In the years when these will be measured, their weighting will be borrowed from Parts B and C above so that this Part D weighs a maximum of 15% and still retain the overall weighting of Parts B, C & D at 80. The logic of including Part D Scorecard in the Performance Agreement is that, any manager or any employee's performance is enhanced or reduced by certain behavioral habits / practices that they adopt and display, for example, how they manage time, how the make decisions, how they build, lead or work in teams to mention a few. To ensure that these winning antecedent behaviours are always at their most positive to impact performance, KPIs and Targets are set in this Part to measure the institutionally selected behaviours. The selection of the top five or so can be agreed at executive management level or assigned to the MM and her / his delegated director (like Corporate Services Director where Individual Performance resides).

- 1.5.5 PART E (20%): Competence based Individual Development or Learning Plan (CBIDP). These are commonly called Personal Development Plans (PDPs) or Individual Development Plans (IDPs). Different from previous practices in many organisations, in this Part E, these competence development areas will be planned and measured against (i) competence gaps in fulfilling current job requirements and performances (roughly 40% of this Part E) (ii) generic individual managerial or developmental competence / performance gaps (roughly 40% weighting of this Part E) (iii) life development goals (at 20% weighting at own cost but leave time allowed for examinations). Unlike in Senqu's previous practices on the PDPs, and unlike what many other municipalities where PDPs are just a "wish list", whose actual achievement does not impact the employee's performance, in this case these Competence-Based Individual Development Plans CBIDPs have set targets and will constitute 20% of the overall performance of that employee in line with the provisions of the Skills Dev Act.
- 1.5.6 This Performance Agreement cannot be interpreted as if it is replaceable by the Performance Clause in the Executive's 5 Year Contract of Employment as some do at times. This agreement is an annualized sub-set through whose cumulative performance measurement and tracking, whatever the executive would have committed to deliver in 5 years gets achieved.

2. PURPOSE OF THIS AGREEMENT

The purpose of this Agreement, as agreed by both parties, is to, inter alia.

- comply with the provisions of Section 57(1)(b), (4A),(4B) and (5) of the Act as well as the employment contract entered into between the parties;
- 2.2 specify objectives and targets defined and agreed with the employee and to communicate to the employer's expectations of the employee's performance and accountabilities in alignment with the Integrated Development Plan, Service Delivery and Budget Implementation Plan (SDBIP) and the Budget of the Municipality.
- 2.3 specify accountabilities as set out in a performance plan, which forms an annexure to the performance agreement.
- 2.4 monitor and measure performance against set targeted outputs.
- 2.5 use the performance agreement as the basis for assessing whether the employee has met the performance expectations applicable to his or her job.

- 2.6 in the event of outstanding performance, to appropriately reward the employee; and
- 2.7 give effect to the employer's commitment to a performance-orientated relationship with its employee in attaining equitable and improved service delivery.

3 COMMENCEMENT AND DURATION

- 3.1 Notwithstanding the date of signature hereto, this Agreement will commence on the 1st July 2022 and will remain in force until 30th June 2023. Thereafter, a new Performance Agreement, made up of the Performance Plan (the four parts B, C, & D Scorecards) and Personal Development Plan (CBIDP) shall be concluded between the parties for the next financial year or any portion thereof along the lines explained above.
- 3.2 The parties will review all the provisions of this Performance Agreement against its own efficaciousness as well as against existing and / or new local government policy changes in June of each year as part of the Performance Management System Annual Review. The parties will conclude a new Performance Agreement and Performance Plan that replaces this Agreement at least once a year by not later than 31st July of each successive financial year.
- 3.3 This Agreement will terminate on the termination of the **Employee**'s contract of employment for any reason.
- 3.4 The content of this Agreement may be revised at any time during the above-mentioned period to redetermine the applicability of the matters agreed upon.
- 3.5 If at any time during the validity of this Agreement, the work environment alters (whether as a result of Government or Council decisions or otherwise) to the extent that the contents of this Agreement are no longer appropriate, the contents shall immediately be revised.
- 3.6 All revisions and amendments of this Agreement must be **immediately** noted and **immediately** counter-signed by the two agreeing parties, namely, the Employer and the Employee.

- 4 PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVES, KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (KPIs), BASELINES AND TARGETS
 - 4.1 Mutually aligned performance objectives, related KPIs, their KPI related baselines and targets form the basis and value chain of any strategic performance management system of any organisation like the municipality.
 - 4.2 They are kept in proper alignment through a Performance Plan as described below.
 - 4.3 The full Performance Plan or Scorecard (Parts B, C, D & E) sets out-
 - 4.1.1 the performance objectives and targets that must be met by the Employee; and
 - 4.1.2 the time frames within which those performance objectives and targets must be met.
 - The performance objectives and targets reflected in (Parts B, C and D) as well as the Part E: (Competence Based Individual Development Plan (CBIDP) are set by the Employer in consultative agreement (hence the term Performance Agreement) with the Employee and are all based on or clearly aligned to the approved Integrated Development Plan, Top Layer Service Delivery and Budget Implementation Plan (TL SDBIP) and the Budget of the Employer and shall include key strategic and functional objectives; key performance indicators; target dates, weightings to reflect urgency and prioritisation, resource requirements, Means of Evidence Verification (MOVs).
 - 4.2.1 The key objectives describe the main intended achievements that need to be accomplished as derived from the Institutional (Top Layer) and Departmental SDBIPs wherein the Director makes their contribution in the Municipality.
 - 4.2.2 The key performance indicators provide the details of the evidence that must be provided to show that a key objective has been achieved. Key here means that there are many indicators from where one can chose, so the choice must prioritise only the few that will move forward the strategic objectives the most.
 - 4.2.3 The **baselines** are very important and must be decided consistent with language and units implied in the performance indicator when measurement of the indicator starts at the beginning of the year.

- 4.2.4 The targets describe the quantity or quality of the performance achieved in that objective and key performance indicator. In most cases, because the timeframe for achieving such a target is understood to be quarterly, semi-annually or annually, timeframes are not mentioned beyond these terms or columns.
- 4.2.5 The **weightings in** % show the importance of the key performance indicators and their targets relative to each other. They are crucial in computing the weighted average ratings at the end of period (quarterly, semi-annually, or annually). It is prudent to always work with an internal total weighting of 100% for each Scorecard (be it Part B, C, D or E).
- 4.5 The **Employee**'s performance will, always, be measured in terms of contributions to the goals and strategies set out in the **Employer**'s Integrated Development Plan and other sub-ordinate plans and projects that support the achievement of this IDP.

5 THE MUNICIPALITY'S PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

- 5.2 The Employee accepts that the purpose of the performance management system is to provide a comprehensive system with specific performance standards and targets to assist the Employer, Management and Municipal staff to perform to reach the standards required for each local government KPA.
- 5.3 The Employer will consult and support the Employee about all aspects required to achieve the specific performance standards that will be included in the performance management system as applicable to the Employee.
- The Employee undertakes to actively focus towards the promotion and implementation of all the KPAs, objectives and KPIs (including special projects relevant to the employee's responsibilities) within the Local Government framework.
- 5.5 The criteria upon which the performance of the Employee shall be assessed shall consist of two components, both of which shall be contained in the Performance Agreement.

- 5.5.1 The Employee must be assessed against both components, with a weighting of 80:20 allocated to the Key Performance Areas, Objectives and Targets set in PARTS B, C, & D (when Part D is being measured) and the Competence Based Individual Development Plans (CBIDP in lieu of the usual PDP) based on prioritized Learning & Development Requirements (CCRs) respectively.
- 5.5.2 Each area of assessment (as per the four Parts described above) will be weighted and will contribute a specific part to the total score accordingly.
- 5.5.3 KPAs covering the main areas of work (PARTS B, C & D) will account for 80% and selected Developmental CRs (in the PART E CBIDP) will account for 20% of the final assessment.
- 5.5.4 The total score must be determined using the rating calculator which will always be appropriately calibrated to accommodate all the three or four measurable scorecards and the weighting given to each KPI and target within each KPA as found in the four measurable scorecards, namely, Parts B, C, D and E. (This year Part D has been left out for introduction next year).
- 5.6 The Employee's assessment will be based on his / her performance in terms of the outputs / outcomes (measured through the performance indicators and their related targets) identified as per attached Performance Plan (PARTS B, C & D), which are collectively and distributively linked to all the 6 KPA's, and will constitute 80% of the overall assessment result as per the weightings agreed to between the Employer and Employee. The weightings are set based, firstly, on this director's key job profiles which specify key activities / roles assigned to the incumbent as the director (HOD) of the department in their pursuit of each their own core KPAs, notwithstanding that this director, like all others must play supportive roles in the work done in other KPAs (signified by varying weighting each year).
- 5.7 The weightings shown below, while changeable to align with both the prevailing strategic and functional thrusts that this director must pursue, they must align with the average weighting per KPA assigned to each of the 80% Scorecards (Parts B and C combined). The Table below shows the weightings agreed for this current year for this director.

| Key Performance Areas (KPA's) | Weighting |
|--|-----------|
| Basic Service Delivery | 13% |
| Municipal Institutional Development and Transformation | 20% |
| Local Economic Development (LED) | 15% |

| Key Performance Areas (KPA's) | Weighting |
|--|-----------|
| Municipal Financial Viability and Management | 5% |
| Good Governance and Public Participation | 15% |
| Spatial Planning and Environmental Development | 32% |
| Total | 100% |

- 5.7 In the case of managers / directors directly accountable to the Municipal Manager, the weighting of the key performance areas related to the strategic and functional area of the relevant Manager, will always be subject to negotiation between the Municipal Manager and the relevant manager / director. These agreed weightings must be translated to the top of each KPA in the actual Plan templates (PARTS B, C & D).
- The developmental Competency Requirements (CR) (learning requirements in the CBIDP) will make up part of the other 20% of the Employee's assessment score. CRs that are deemed to be most critical for the Employee's specific job should be selected (√) from the list below as agreed to between the Employer and Employee. Three of the CRs are compulsory for Municipal Managers. These Leading CRs make PART C Generic / Core Managerial Competences (which are common to every Director / Senior Manager as agreed. A selection of Optional Core Competencies is selected each year and will form part of the Antecedent Input Behaviours (as explained earlier).
- In this year's Performance Agreement, all of the critical and desired competency requirements have mostly been taken care of through Part C built largely on the directors' Job Profiles, which, coming from COGTA have already selected which of the CRs are important. So the table below is only a guide not a prescription for the KPIs and targets set out in Part C.

| CORE COMPETENCY REQUIREMENTS (CCRs) FOR EMPLOYEES – Same Weighting for executives and managers at the same level! | | | | | |
|---|---|--------|--|--|--|
| LEADING COMPETENCIES | 1 | WEIGHT | | | |
| Strategic Direction and Leadership | 1 | 10% | | | |
| People Management | 1 | 10% | | | |
| Program and Project Management | 1 | 10% | | | |
| Financial Management | 1 | 10% | | | |
| Change Leadership | 1 | 10% | | | |
| Governance Leadership √ 10% | | | | | |
| CORE COMPETENCIES | | | | | |
| Moral Competence | √ | 6% | | | |

| CORE COMPETENCY REQUIREMENTS (CCRs) FOR EMPLOYEES – Same Weighting for executives and managers at the same level! | | | |
|---|---|--------|--|
| LEADING COMPETENCIES | 1 | WEIGHT | |
| Planning and Organising | 1 | 6% | |
| Analysis and Innovation | √ | 8% | |
| Knowledge and Information Management | 1 | 6% | |
| Communication | 1 | 8% | |
| Results and Quality Focus | √ | 6% | |
| Total percentage | - | 100% | |

6. EVALUATING PERFORMANCE

- 6.1 The Performance Plan Scorecards (Parts B, C and D) to this Agreement each set out -
 - 6.1.1 the standards and procedures for evaluating the Employee's performance; and
 - 6.1.2 the intervals for the evaluation of the Employee's performance.
- 6.2 Despite the establishment of agreed intervals for evaluation, the Employer may in addition, review the Employee's performance at any stage while the contract of employment remains in force, subject to sufficient notice and reasons for justifying the variation being given to the employee.
- 6.3 Personal growth and development needs identified during any performance review discussion must be documented in a Competence-Based Individual Development Personal Development Plan as well as the actions agreed to and implementation must take place within set time frames.
- 6.4 The Employee's performance will be measured in terms of contributions to the goals and strategies set out in the Employer's IDP and subordinate TL SDBIP and Departmental SDBIP and operational plans.
- 6.5 The annual performance appraisal will involve:
 - 6.5.1 Assessment of the achievement of results as outlined in the performance plan:
 - (a) Each KPA should be assessed according to the extent to which the specified standards or performance targets set for each key performance indicator (KPI) have been met and with due regard to ad hoc tasks that had to be performed under the KPA.

- (b) An indicative rating on the five-point scale should be provided for each target first and then aggregated for each KPA.
- (c) The applicable assessment rating calculator (refer to paragraph 6.5.3 below) must then be used to add the scores and calculate a final weighted average rating for each KPA score.

6.5.2 Assessment of the Competency Requirements

- (a) Each Competency Requirement should be assessed according to the extent to which the specified standards (based on the standard being treated as a KPI and calibrated targets set, agreed and subsequently met).
- (b) An indicative rating on the five-point scale should be provided for each selected CR based on set KPIs and related targets.
- (c) This rating should be multiplied by the weighting given to each selected CR during the contracting process, to provide a score.
- (d) The applicable assessment rating calculator (refer to paragraph 6.5.1) must then be used to add the scores and calculate a final CR score.

6.5.3 Overall rating

An overall rating is calculated by using the applicable assessment-rating calculator. Such overall rating represents the outcome of the performance appraisal.

- The calibration of all set targets in each section / PART of the Performance agreement as well as the subsequent assessment of the performance attained by the Employee for each target will be based on the following rating scale for KPA's and the selected Competence Requirements (CRs) as reflected Part C taking from the Directors' Job Profiles which in turn have drawn them from the following CRs.
- 6.7 Below is the local government municipal regulations rating scale that each municipality has adopted.

| Level Terminology Descri | | Description | | | Rating | | | | |
|--------------------------|--|---|--|---|--------|---|---|--|--|
| | | Description | | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | | |
| 5 | Outstanding performance | Performance far exceeds the standard expected of an employee at this level. The appraisal indicates that the Employee has achieved above fully effective results against all performance criteria and indicators as specified in the PA and Performance plan and maintained this in all areas of responsibility throughout the year. | | | | | | | |
| 4 | Performance significantly above expectations | Performance is significantly higher than the standard expected in the job. The appraisal indicates that the Employee has achieved above fully effective results against more than half of the performance criteria and indicators and fully achieved all others throughout the year. | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Fully effective | Performance fully meets the standards expected in all areas of the job. The appraisal indicates that the Employee has fully achieved effective results against all significant performance criteria and indicators as specified in the PA and Performance Plan. | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Not fully effective | Performance is below the standard required for the job in key areas. Performance meets some of the standards expected for the job. The review/assessment indicates that the employee has achieved below fully effective results against more than half the key performance criteria and indicators as specified in the PA and Performance Plan. | | | | | | | |

| Lavel Torminology | | Description | | Rating | | | | |
|-------------------|--------------|--|---|--------|---|---|---|--|
| Level | Terminology | Description | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | |
| | | Performance does not meet the standard expected | | | | | | |
| | | for the job. The review/assessment indicates that | | | | | | |
| | | the employee has achieved below fully effective | | | | | | |
| | | results against almost all of the performance criteria | | | | | | |
| | Unacceptable | and indicators as specified in the PA and | | | | | | |
| 1 | performance | Performance Plan. The employee has failed to | | | | | | |
| | | demonstrate the commitment or ability to bring | | | | | | |
| | | performance up to the level expected in the job | | | | | | |
| | | despite management efforts to encourage | | | | | | |
| | | improvement. | | | | | | |

- 6.7 For purposes of evaluating the annual performance of the Municipal Manager, an evaluation panel constituted of the following persons must be established moderate the ratings first agreed between the Employee i.e. the MM and their supervisor (Executive Mayor)-
 - 6.7.1 Executive Mayor or Mayor.
 - 6.7.2 Chairperson of the performance audit Committee or the Audit Committee in the absence of a performance audit committee.
 - 6.7.3 Member of the Mayoral or Executive Committee or in respect of a plenary type of Municipality, another member of Council.
 - 6.7.4 Mayor and/or Municipal Manager from another Municipality; and
 - 6.7.5 Member of a Ward Committee as nominated by the Executive Mayor or Mayor.
- 6.8 For purposes of evaluating the annual performance of Managers directly accountable to the Municipal Managers, an evaluation panel constituted of the following persons must be established;
 - 6.8.1 The Municipal Manager.
 - 6.8.2 Chairperson of the performance Audit Committee or the Audit Committee in the absence of a performance Audit Committee.
 - 6.8.3 Member of the Mayoral or Executive Committee or in respect of a plenary type of Municipality, another member of Council; and
 - 6.8.4 another Municipal Manager from another Municipality.
- 6.9 The Manager responsible for Human Resources of the Municipality must provide secretariat services to the evaluation panels referred to in sub-regulations (6.7) and (6.8).

7. SCHEDULE FOR PERFORMANCE REVIEWS

- 7.1 Before the on-set of the Performance Management Cycle, all targets in PARTS B, C, and D must be calibrated against the rating scale of 1 5 in order to minimize common subjectivity that occurs when assessments are done during appraisal time.
- 7.2 The performance of each **Employee** in relation to his / her performance agreement shall be reviewed on the following dates with the understanding that reviews in the first and third quarter may be verbal if performance is satisfactory:

| First quarter: | July – September | Period Review Report Concluded on |
|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Second quarter | : October – December | Period Review Report Concluded on |
| Third quarter: Ja | anuary – March F | Period Review Report Concluded on |
| Fourth quarter: | April – June F | Period Review Report Concluded on |

- 7.2 During these review sessions, targets are scored or rated, the targets may also be renegotiated and adjusted in re-alignment to the available budgets and other prevailing environmental conditions as necessary. The Employer shall keep a record of the mid-year review and annual assessment meetings and scores for cumulative averaging at the end of each year as provided by the policy.
- 7.3 Performance feedback shall be based on the Employer's assessment of the Employee's performance done in mutual agreement with the employee.
- 7.4 The Employer will be entitled to review and make reasonable changes to the provisions of all PARTS "B, C & D & E" from time to time for operational reasons. The Employee will be fully consulted before any such change is made.
- 7.5 The Employer may amend the provisions of PARTS B, C, and D & E whenever the performance management system is adopted, implemented and / or amended as the case may be. In that case the Employee will be fully consulted and ideally be in agreement before any such change is made. Both parties will sign-off any amendments made as such agreements are legally binding on both parties.

8. DEVELOPMENTAL REQUIREMENTS

The Competence-Based Individual Development Plan (CBIDP) in lieu of the normal Personal Development Plan (PDP) for addressing developmental gaps is attached as Part E as annexed.

9. OBLIGATIONS OF THE EMPLOYER

- 9.1 The Employer shall -
 - 9.1.1 create an enabling environment to facilitate effective performance by the employee.
 - 9.1.2 provide access to skills development and capacity building opportunities.
 - 9.1.3 work collaboratively with the Employee to solve problems and generate solutions to common problems that may impact on the performance of the Employee.
 - 9.1.4 on the request of the Employee delegate such powers reasonably required by the Employee to enable him / her to meet the performance objectives and targets established in terms of this Agreement; and
 - 9.1.5 make available to the Employee such resources as the Employee may reasonably require from time to time to assist him / her to meet the performance objectives and targets established in terms of this Agreement.

10. CONSULTATION

- 10.1 The Employer agrees to consult the Employee timeously where the exercising of the Employer and / or Employee's delegated powers will have amongst others, a direct effect on the performance of any of the Employee's key functions, in particular;
 - 10.1.1 at the initial agreement of this document called the Performance Agreement (Parts A, B, C, D and E), it is envisaged that consultative discussions will be held and that the final signed off agreement will be signed for each part to signify that there was discussion and consensus for each part of this agreement. It is envisaged that the employee will prepare and present to the Employer a draft Performance Agreement (in all its agreed Parts showing calibrated targets for each scorecard) for discussion at a timely agreed date and time. Once agreed, dated and signed by both parties, the Performance Agreement is deemed to be legally binding to both parties, such that no party shall vary any part of it without consultation and agreement with the other Party.
 - 10.1.2 at every performance appraisal / review session (quarterly in line with the municipal performance regulations, unless agreed otherwise within the municipality's Performance Management policy),

the Employee shall present their own self rating scores and reasons for discussion and comparing notes with the Employer (who will also have already scored the employee independently prior to the meeting). In this meeting notes are being compared, agreements on performance levels to be maintained, or improved agreed with clear additional support for the employee being agreed. Such support will include necessary coaching and mentoring, training and development arrangement. It is at these formal review / appraisal sessions that any targets may be adjusted, in writing and all changes co-signed against this initial agreement by both parties indicating reasons for such a change.

- 10.1.3 continuously commit the Employee to implement or to give effect to a decision made by the Employer that affect and are affected by this agreement;
- 10.1.4 continuously commit the Employer to render all necessary support (resources, emotional, intellectual and physical) as may be reasonably needed by the employee to optimally perform what has been agreed in this Performance Agreement; and
- 10.1.5 that has a substantial financial effect on the Employer.
- 10.2 The Employer agrees to inform the Employee of any outcome of any decisions taken outside the consultation with the employee, with regards to the implementation of this Performance Agreement pursuant to the exercise of powers contemplated in 10.1 above as soon as is practicable, as part of the Employer's coaching roles in order to enable the Employee to take any necessary action without delay. It is envisaged that such decisions will be rare and mostly coming from outside the municipal administration sphere like council, COGTA, National Treasury, SALGA etc.

11. MANAGEMENT OF EVALUATION OUTCOMES

- 11.1 The final evaluation of the Employee's performance will be conclusively pronounced by the selected panel as envisaged in section 27 (d) of the Performance Regulation, also recapped in sub-sections 6.7 and 6.8 in this document above. The panel will perform a moderating role on all signed performance appraisal reports of each review session held and agreed between the Employer and Employee in the course of the year. For administrative fairness, both the Employer and the Employee should always be present or at least represented to clarify any issues that the panel may wish to have clarified.
- 11.2 Based on the final scores / ratings presented to and confirmed by the panel, a performance bonus of between 5% to 14% of the total remuneration package may be paid to the Employee (subject to the policy and the resources available to the municipality as stated in the Systems Act) in recognition

of outstanding performance that the municipality wishes to sustain and also in line with the provisions of the municipal performance management regulations. Such reward will be constituted as follows:

- 11.2.1 a rating of 4 out of the 5 point rating scale is given a score of between 130% to 149% is awarded a performance bonus ranging from 5% to 9%; and
- 11.2.2 a rating of 5 out of the 5 point rating scale is given a score of between 150% and above is awarded a performance bonus ranging from 10% to 14%.
- N.B.: It should be noted that the rating process (against the 5 point scale) must always happen first before the use of the percentage equivalents ranging from 70% 166%+ because not all targets can be calibrated up to 166%+, so it is better to rate first on the numbers and use the % as qualifiers as shown below.
- 11.3 In the case of unacceptable performance, the Employer shall
 - 11.3.1 On confirming performance shortfalls, immediately provide systematic remedial or developmental support to assist the Employee to improve his or her performance; and
 - 11.3.2 After appropriate performance counselling and having provided the necessary guidance and/ or support as well as reasonable time for improvement in performance, the Employer may consider steps to terminate the contract of employment of the Employee on grounds of unfitness or incapacity to carry out his or her duties.

12.0 MANAGEMENT OF EVALUATION OUTCOMES

12.1 The evaluation of the Employee's performance shall form the basis for rewarding outstanding and excellent performance only (ratings 4 and 5) or correcting unacceptable performance (Ratings 2 and below) as reflected in the table below –

| Rating (out of the 5 point scale) | % Score (for those instances where performance is meaningfully stretchable beyond 100%) | Equivalent on a Usual 100% Maximum (where performance can never be meaningfully calibrated beyond 100%) | % of annual package payable as a performance Bonus |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|--|
| 4.00 - 4.19 | 130.0% - 133.8% | (65.0% - 66.9%) | 5% |
| 4.20 – 4.39 | 134.0% – 137.8% | (67.0% - 68.9%) | 6% |

| 4.40 – 4.59 | 138.0% - 141.8% | (69.0% - 70.9%) | 7% |
|-------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----|
| 4.60 – 4.79 | 142.0% - 145.8% | (71.0% - 72.9%) | 8% |
| 4.80 – 4.99 | 146.0% - 149.8% | (73.0% - 74.9%) | 9% |
| 5.00 - 5.19 | 150.0% - 152.8% | (75.0% - 76.4%) | 10% |
| 5.20 - 5.39 | 153.0% - 155.8% | (76.5% - 77.9%) | 11% |
| 5.40 - 5.59 | 156.0% - 158.8% | (78.0% - 79.4%) | 12% |
| 5.60 - 5.79 | 159.0% - 161.8% | (79.5% - 80.9%) | 13% |
| 5.80 - 5.99 | 162% - 164.8% + | (81.0% - 82.4%) + | 14% |

- 12.1.1 At the end of the 4th quarter, the Executive Authority will determine, based on the final panel ratings based on the rating / scoring table above, if the s56 / s57 Director / manager is eligible for a performance bonus as envisaged in his/her contract of employment based on the bonus allocations shown in the table above.
- 12.2 In the case of unacceptable performance, the Employer shall
 - 12.2.1 Provide systematic remedial or developmental support to assist with **Employee** to improve his or her performance; and
 - 12.2.2 After appropriate performance counseling and having provided the necessary guidance and/or support as well as reasonable time for improvement in performance, the **Employer** may consider steps to firstly shift the employee to another position within the municipality (if possible). If that does not help, then and only then will the Employer invoke procedures to terminate the contract of employment of the **Employee** on grounds of unfitness or incapacity to competently perform out his or her duties.
 - 12.2.3 Nothing contained in this Agreement in any way limits the right of the Municipality to terminate the Director's contract of employment with or without notice for any other breach by the Director of his obligations to the Municipality or for any other valid reason in line with fair labour practice and law.

13 MERITS AWARDS

13.1.1 Merit awards for Section 56 employees are determined by performance against targets. Once performance criteria have been established, performance targets are reviewed regularly. At the end of the financial year, actual performance is compared against the agreed performance targets to determine the magnitude of the merit increase. The merit increase is calculated as a percentage of the total annual package of the employee, as indicated in the table hereunder.

| Score / 200 | Merit |
|------------------------|---------------------|
| 130 to 141 (65%-70%) | 1% of total package |
| 142 to 149 (71% - 74%) | 2% of total package |
| 150 to 161 (75%-80%) | 3% of total package |

| 162 to 165 (81 – 82%) | 4% of total package |
|-----------------------|---------------------|
| 166+ (83% +) | 5% of total package |

13.1.2 Merit awards are subject to policy and Budgetary provisions made on an annual basis the merit bonuses may be paid as a 'once off' payment or at agreed quarterly intervals i.e. over a number of months.

14 DISPUTE RESOLUTION

- 14.1 Any disputes about the nature of the Municipal Manager's performance agreement, whether it relates to key responsibilities, priorities, methods of assessment and/ or any other matter provided for, shall be mediated by
 - 14.1.1 The MEC for Local Government in the province within thirty (30) days of receipt of a formal dispute from the Employee; or
 - 14.1.2 Any other person appointed by the MEC.
 - 14.1.3 In the case of Managers directly accountable to the Municipal Manager, a member of the Municipal Council, provided that such member was not part of the evaluation panel provided for in sub-regulation 27(4)(e) of the Municipal Performance Regulations, 2006, within thirty (30) days of receipt of a formal dispute from the employee.
- 14.2.1 In the event that the mediation process contemplated above fails, clause 20.3 of the Contract of Employment shall apply.

15. GENERAL

- 15.1 The contents of this agreement and the outcome of any review conducted in terms of Annexure A may be made available to the public by the Employer.
- 15.2 Nothing in this agreement diminishes the obligations, duties, or accountabilities of the Employee in terms of his/ her contract of employment, or the effects of existing or new regulations, circulars, policies, directives or other instruments.
- 15.3 The performance assessment results of the Municipal Manager must be submitted to the MEC responsible for Local Government in the relevant province as well as the National Minister responsible for Local Government, within fourteen (14) days after the conclusion of the assessment.

| 16. | - | ify that the Employer and the Employee have discussed and agree on every detanis Part A of the Performance Agreement. |
|------|----------------------|---|
| Thus | s done and signed at | on this the day of 2022. |
| AS V | WITNESSES: | |
| 1 | | Kenneth S. Chaphi EMPLOYEE (DIRECTOR) |
| 2 | | |
| AS \ | WITNESSES: | |
| | | MUNICIPAL MANAGER |
| ۷ | | |

SENQU LM PERFORMANCE AGREEMENT PART B - DIRECTOR DTPS CORE MANAGERIAL SCORECARD 2022/23

Name of Director / Incumbent: KENNETH SANDILE CHAPHI

Title of Incumbent: Director DIPS

Period of Scorecard Coverage: 1st July, 2022 - 30th June 2023

WEIGHT OF PART B = 80% of ENTIRE 3 PART SCORECARDS OF THE PERFORMANCE AGREEMENT:

ID of Incumbent:

| Bunloddus | rector | g Mgr | | Directors Community Bervises and DTPS, CFO | | upporting | Director |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|-------|---|--|
| | Means of Di Evidence Verification | Standing Housing Mgr Committee submission | Council resolution na | Council or SEM Directors Approval Bowystes, Of DTPS, Cf | | | to of the state of |
| AUDITED EVIDENCE | Evidence | Housing plan, Star Housing plan, Con Housing Con Implementation subtract Plan and subsequent progress reports | 1. Appointment Cou- letter or S 2 Project Inception. 3 Pre-conveyancing application submission to MPT. | s unders | | AUDITED EVIDENCE | Evidence |
| | QTR 4 | 1 = no report HK complete houses he complete houses he complete houses he complete houses houses houses complete houses reported 4 = 86% - 99% 4 = 86% - 99% 4 = 100% complete houses reported 5 = 100% complete h | submission of Pre- 1. conveyancing let application to MPT 2 35 st s | even a able raft but not yet tted for MM ssions funders developers | | | QTR 4 |
| TS SI | QIR3 | 1 = no report 1 = 1 comport 2 complete houses or complete houses or reported 3 s = 67% - 65% 3 s = 67% - 65% 0 complete houses or reported 4 = 105% complete 86% - 93% complete 86% - 93% complete 100% complete 100% or ported 1 complete 1 | Project inception | even a draft raft available approved ted for MM ssions issued investors/ s med | | TS | QTR 3 |
| TARGETS | QTR2 | 1 = no report 1 = 2 × 47% - 65% 2 2 × 47% - 65% 2 2 × 47% - 65% 2 2 × 47% - 65% 3 3 × 4 × 6 × 6 × 6 × 6 × 6 × 6 × 6 × 6 × 6 | Appointment of the Poconveyancer and planners | 1. = c not even a 1. = c not even a craitable craft available 2. First draft 2. = First draft a 2. = First draft a saptoved a sporoved a sporoved a sporoved a submissions to funders developers issued to funders developers [5 = t confirmed | | TARGETS | QTR 2 |
| | QTR 1 | 1 = no report complete houses complete houses reported an 67% - 85% complete houses reported the four services fou | Not a target in Q1 | 1.1.= < not even a defent available carf available 2 = First draft available but not yet approved 3 = submitted for MM approval; 4 = submissions issued to funders investors funders | | | arr 1 |
| Additional | Resources | | na | ъв. Т | | Additional | Needed Needed |
| Individual Annual Target | Basolino (as at Juno 2021) | 1 = no report 2 = 47%, 66% complete houses 2 = 67%, 85% complete houses reported 4 = 66%, 99% complete houses reported 100% complete houses reported | Pte-conveyancing applications for Lady Gery and Hetchel 1 = no report 1 = ropect inception 3 = pre conveyancing report 4 = 1 application submitted 5 = 2 applications submitted 5 = 2 applications submitted | 1. = < not even a draft available 2. First draft available but not yet 3. submitted for MM approval; 4. submitted for MM approval; 5. submitted developers 5. for fornithmed investors for source external funding | | Individual Annual Tarrat | |
| Individual KPI | Baseline (ss at June 2021) | new | weu | wan new | | - 18 | |
| Weighting in % | | 969 | 2%5 | 3% | 13% | Weighting in % | Baseline (as at June 2021) |
| Individual Key | Performance indicators (based on outcomes, strategic actions and outputs) | Facilitate the Implementation of House Sand Day the Implementation of House Sand up the Provincial Department of Human Settlement by June 2022 | Title deed restoration | investoral developeral source external funding | total | Individual Key | Indicators (based on outcomes, strategic actions and outputs) |
| Individual Strategic Individual Key | Actions | Housing facilitation | | Develop, review and revise all Sectional Masterplans envisaged in the various, Acts applicable to Directorate and source external funding | | lividual Strategic | Actions |
| OUTCOME | | Improved land ownership | | To atract investors/ developers/ source external funding | | KPA 2: LOCAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT (WEIGHTING = 30%) | |
| ва. | Pholrity / Focus Ar | qirtanarwo brist bev | ondul | grow the local ec | | NOMIC DEVELOPM | Priolrity / Focus Are |
| 83 | LE SDBIÞ KÞI NOWBI | ₱0-800IIM | TO M2&3 gnizuoH | IngiaravO saorinas SqTIO | | KPA 2: LOCAL ECO | TL SOBIP KPI NUMBE |

| | | | ٦ . |
|---|--|--|----------------------------------|
| all directors as designated | al directors as designated | Manager Manager | |
| Ocunci reschibon | or SEM Approval | Approval | |
| Approved Tourism Plan adopted by Council implementation of 2 year priority as per tourism plan | 1 adopted LED strategy implementation of 2 limplementation of 2 lourism plan tourism plan | 1. Council resolution approxing the policy | |
| 1. * < not even a draft available 2 = Frast data available 2 = Frast data available but not yet approved an Est due approved on lest due data; **A = u.bmitted for approved with mixed contractions to be available and approved with mixed contractions to be suggested; 5 = 5 = 5 = 1 5 = 1 5 | 1. * < not even a draft available 2. = Frest draft available but not yet approved approved on last due date, 4 = submitted befrore due date and approved with minor corrections to be suggested, 5. = 5. = Implementation of 1 year priority as per IED plan | 1. = < not even a draft available to 2 = Frat draft available but not yet approved approved on last due date. Es authritted before due date and approved with minor occuredons to be supproved with minor opproved with minor due date and approved with minor opproved with minor of approved with minor | |
| draft Tourism Plan developed 1. = < not even a draft avezible 2 = First draft svezible but avezible 4 standing to standing corruction to 4 = submitted before dobt for the standing corruction to for the standing corruction for the standing corruction for the formation for the formation for the formation for the formation for for for for for for for for | developed developed 1 = cnd own a draft 1 = cnd own a draft 2 = model 2 = model 3 = submitted to the submitted to the submitted to the submitted to committe on lest due date 4 = submitted before the bottomistics 5 = model 5 = mod | Not a target in O3 | 1 |
| | Not a target in Q2 | Street and public places naming poticy adopted in a chain addition in a chain and a chain | |
| project plan developed Not a target in Q2 1 = c not even a draft available but not yet submitted to standy contraited committe on lest due dett: | project plan developed 1. a < not even a draft avaitable 2. a 2. avaitable but not yet submitted to 3. a purnitted standing committe on last due date. 4. a be standing committe. 6. a submitted but date. 6. a submitted on last due date. 6. a submitted on last due date. 6. a submitted with no depectors objections | Not a target in OI | |
| 2 | | | |
| 1. = < not even a draft available = Fest draft available but not yet approved 3 = submitted for exproved on last due date. 4 = submitted before due date and approved with minor connections to be suggested: 5 = submitted on ime & approved ime & approved | 1. = c not even a draft available = First draft available but not yet = submitted for approved = submitted dats = submitted before due date and approved with minor corrections to be supposed. 5 = submitted on time & approved | Council approved street names for Barby East and Lab Grey butter Barby East and Lab Grey butter Barby East and Lab Grey butter 1. = c not even a drest available 1. = c not even a drest available but not you approved — 3 = submitted for approved on last date data: 4 = submitted before due data and approved with mixer corrections to be suppressed with mixer corrections to be suppressed in the data of a submitted on time & approved. | |
| WW. | 90 - 1 0 0 4 0 9 5 | Policy of the page 4 4 age of the page | |
| % | £. | se se | 15% |
| Development of the Senqu Tourism Plan by June 2022 | Stratogy | Street and Public Places Naming Policy | |
| To promote furition, arts consider furition or furition or confinued support to local support to local subsenses | Oversee that there is it as timely update and a timely update and it year LED strategy and its mylementation implementation. | First projection this Situate and Pubic Polabor Naming Pubics work, monitor and report progress and report progress are necessary of the project fruithes at the project fruithes at the end of Quarter 2. | Sub-Total Weighting for this KPA |
| Well marketed municipal area | Coordinated approach to LED | Improved accessfully and navigation to communities | Sub-Total Weigh |
| vmoncoe iscol effi ni inemqoleveb ideutis bns elomonq oT vgetsata CBJ ent io notizinemelomi riguoniti | ymonoos isool ard in insunqolavab kastis bris elomonq o T igestus (33) ard in notetnamikrim riguosrif | | |
| 16-1003-J | 10-200 <u>3</u> 71 | 90°ZZQ⊒T | |

| | | Supporting Director | 0 | |
|--|-------------------|--|--|----------------|
| | NDENCE | Means of Evidence Verification | Audit Reviews / CFO | |
| | AUDITED EVIDENCE | Evidence | 12 Reports CFO Standing Consideration | |
| | | QTR 4 | 1 = <-10% total 1 = <-10% total 2 = -20% total expenditure expenditure 3 = 50% total expenditure 4 = 60% total expenditure 5 = > 70% total expenditure expenditure expenditure 5 = > 70% total | |
| | TARGETS | QTR 3 | 1 = <-15% total expenditure 2 = 20% total expenditure expenditure appenditure expenditure expenditure expenditure 5 => 40% total expenditure expenditure expenditure | |
| | QUARTERLY TARGETS | QTR 2 | 1 = <-5% total expenditure 2 = -7% total expenditure 3 = 10% total dependiture 4 = 13% total expenditure expenditure expenditure expenditure expenditure expenditure | |
| | | arr 1 | 1 = <-1% total expenditure 2 = 3% total expenditure 3 = 5% total expenditure 4 = 7% total expenditure 5 = > 10% total expenditure expenditure | |
| | | Additional Resources Required | | |
| | | Individual Annual Target | 1 = <-10% total expenditure 3 2 = 20% total expenditure 4 = 50% total expenditure 50% total expenditure 50% total expenditure 5 = > 70% total expenditure | |
| | | Individual KPI Baseline (as at June 2021) | The OPEX accordance 2020/2021 | |
| | | Weighting in % | %9 | 2% |
| | | Individual Key Performance Indicators (based on outcomes, strategic actions and outputs) | To annually report on Improved management 5% the % of the short on public funds and Municipality's budget delivery of services actually spent on aptial projects dendified a partiular mandal year in terms X the Municipality's DPP | Weighting in % |
| IG = 5%) | | Individual Strategic Actions | To annually report on the % of the her % of the actually spent on capital projects identified a particular identified a particular famical year in tems of the Municipality's IDP | |
| KPA 3: FINANCIAL VIABILITY & MANAGEMENT (WEIGHTING = 5%) | | OUTCOME | improved management of public funds and delivery of services | |
| HABILITY & MANA | | Priority / Focus | evinesting the or or no house of the Municipality of the budget actions of the second for each of the second for the second fo | |
| KPA 3: FINANCIAL V | w | TL SDBIP KPI JOB PROFILE | TO-EOVM-IM | |

| BBER | • | | | | | | | | | QUARTER | LY TARGETS | | AUDITED I | EVIDENCE | |
|---|-----------------------|--|---------------------------------|--|----|---|---|-------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---|-------|---|--|---|
| TL SDBIP KPI NUMBER and I or MM JOB PROFILE | Priority / Focus Area | OUTCOME | Individual Strategic Actions | Individual Key Performance Indicators (based on outcomes, strategic actions and outputs) | | Individual KPI Baseline (as at June 2021) | Individual Annual Target | Additional Resources Required | QTR 1 | QTR 2 | QTR 3 | QTR 4 | Evidence | Means of Evidence Verification | Supporting Director |
| MTID08-06 | | Improved administration of land | Policy approved by Council | Development of Land Administration and Disposal Policy by June 2022 | 5% | new | Draft policy approval 1. = < not even a draft available 2 = First draft available but not yet submitted to standing committe 3 = submitted standing committe on last due date; submitted before due to standing committe; 5 = submitted with no objections | | Not a target in Q1 | Not a target in O2 | Draft policy approval 1, = c not even a draft available 2 = First draft available but not yet submitted to SEM 3 = submitted to SEM on last due date; 4 = submitted before due to SEM, 5 = submitted with no objections | | Land Administration and Disposal Policy project plan, progress reports | final approved Land Admistration and Disposal | Manager Town Planning and all other directors a needed |
| HOUSING MTID08 | МПD08-07 | approved land occupancy by communities and individuals where property rights are | first; then update, | Development of the Anti- Land invasion bylaw by June 2022 | 5% | | 1. = < not even a draft available 2 = First draft available but not yet approved 3 = submitted for approval on last due date; 4 = submitted before due date and approved with minor corrections to be suggested; 5 = submitted on time & approved | | Not a target in Q1 | Not a target in Q2 | Draft bylaw approval 1, = < not even a draft available 2 = First draft available but not yet submitted to SEM 3 = submitted to SEM on last due date; 4 = submitted before due to SEM; 5 = submitted with no objections | | Development of Anti-Land invasion bylaw project plan, progress reports against project plan | Audits of Project progress plan; final bylaw on Anti- land Invasion | Manager Town Planning and al other directors needed |

|--|

| | Supporting Director | all other directors | all other directors | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|------------------------|
| AUDITED EVIDENCE | Means of Evidence Verification | registers | resolutions registers | Council or SEM g Approval | |
| AUDITED | Evidence | Affendance Registers. Resolutors % | Attendance Registers, Resolutions % | 1 Council resolution aproving the withdrawal | |
| | QTR.4 | 1 = 10% resolutions implemented as 5=0% resolutions implemented as 5=0% resolutions implemented 4=70% resolutions implemented 4=70% resolutions implemented implemented implemented implemented as 5=00% resolutions. | 1 = 10% resolutions implemented 2 = 30% resolutions implemented 3 = 50%, resolutions implemented implemented implemented implemented 5 = 80% resolutions implemented 5 = 80% resolutions implemented implemented implemented | Not a target in Q4 | |
| QUARTERLY TARGETS | QTR3 | 1 = 10% resolutions in promoted implemented in promoted 2 = 30% resolutions = 30% resolutions implemented implemen | 1 = 10% resolutions 1 = 10% resolutions minimum and minimum a 20% resolutions = 30% resolutions implemented implemented implemented implemented a 50% resolutions that a 10% resolutions = 10% resolutions implemented impleme | Notice for the withdrawal from the discrind | |
| QUARTERL | QTR 2 | | 1 = 10% resolutions implemented 2 = 30% resolutions implemented 3 = 50% resolutions implemented 4 = 70% resolutions implemented 5 = 60%+ resolutions implemented implemented | Not a Target in O2 | |
| | QTR 1 | 1 = 10% resolutions 2 = 30% resolutions implemented to a 50% resolutions implemented timplemented to a 70% resolutions implemented to 70% resolutions implemented to 5 = 80% + resolutions implemented to a 70% resolutions | 1 = 10% resolutions implemented 2 = 30% resolutions 3 = 30% resolutions implemented 3 = 50% resolutions implemented implemented implemented 5 = 80%+ resolutions implemented implemented implemented implemented | Not a Target in Q1 | |
| Section (Section) | Additional Resources Required | NA | ¥ | B 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | |
| | Individual Annual Target | 1 = 10% resolutions implemented 2 = 50% resolutions implemented 3 = 50% resolutions implemented 4 = 70% resolutions implemented 5 =80% resolutions implemented | 1 = 10% resolutions implemented 2 = 30% resolutions implemented 3 = 50% resolutions implemented 4 = 70% resolutions implemented 5 = 80% + resolutions implemented | Establishment of the Municipal Planning Thousal by June 2024 1. = c not even a draft available 2 1. = c not even a draft available 2 1. = t off and the available but not yet 1. = the ford the available but not yet 1. = submitted standing committe on last 2. = submitted standing committe on last 4. = 1 1. = submitted before due to standing 1. = submitted before due to submitted before due to submitted before d | |
| | Individual KPI Baseline (as at June 2021) | Meerings held | 2020/2021 meetings held in 5 2019/2020 | пем | |
| | Weighting in % | %5 | 929 | 23% | 15% |
| Individual Key | Performance Indicators (based on outcomes, strategic actions and outputs) | 100% of tracked Top | 100% of tracked Senior Executive Management Resolutions implemented | Stablishment of the Municipal Planning Tribunal by | |
| KPA 5: GOOD GOVERNANCE AND PUBLIC PARTICIPATION (WEIGHTING = 25%) | Individual Strategic Actions | Altend and / track afterdance, resolutions made | Attend and I track attendance, resolutions made | Improved processing improved processing of land development of land development applications | this KPA |
| BLICPARIICIPALION | OUTCOME | 100% of tracked Top Management resolutions implemented | 100% of tracked Senior Executive Management resolutions implemented | Improved processing of land development applications | Weighting for this KPA |
| KNANCE AND PO | Priority / | | SensM qoT bns cox3, "EnucoD fartl enuane oT and the snot bns are | To develop and maintain bns teathes to altract and theep investors to the Villeqpinum | |
| KPA S: GOOD GOVER | PROFILE of MM JOB NUMBER and TL SDBIP KP | CGPP03-04 | 90-£0ddDD | E&SM03-01 | |

| 50 | Means of Supporting Evidence Director Verification | Manager Town Council or SEM Planning and all Approval as needed |
|--|--|--|
| ALIDITED EVIDENCE | Evidence Ev | 1. Council resolution of the Approved Senqu Spatial Council or Pereignent Approval Remework, 2. Notice of the public minutes |
| | QTR 4 | Not a target in O4 |
| OLIABITEDI V TABOETE | QTR 3 | Not a target in Q3 |
| CHADTED | QTR 2 | Council aproval of the SDF 1. = c not even a draft available 2. = First draft available but not yet approved 3 = draft available; 4 = public nordination draft |
| The second secon | OTR 1 | Public participation |
| | Additional Resources Required | e c |
| | Individual Annual Target | 1 adopted Senqu Spatial Development Frantework 1. = < not even a draft available 2. = First draft available but not yet approved 3 - draft available; 3 - draft available; conducted conducted 5 = submitted on first & approved 5 = submitted on first & approved |
| | Individual KPI Baseline (as at June 2021) | Development from and approval of 2 the Senqua a 2 Sengua a 2 Septial 3 Development framework by column 2023 5 |
| | Weighting in % | |
| | Individual Key Performance Indicators (based on Weighting in % outcomes, strategic | 1 adopted Senqu Spatial Development 10% |
| HTING = 25%) | Individual Strategic Actions | enqu Spatial Bevelopment Framework developed |
| PA 6: ENVIRONMENT & SPATIAL MANAGEMENT (WEIGHTING = 25%) | OUTCOME | To develop and maintain urban centres to attract pand keep investors to the municipality |
| ENT & SPATIAL MA | Priority / | 4 |
| KPA 6: ENVIRONME | PROFILE of MM JOB IUMBER and I | E&SMO2-01 |

| io nočezinsáru ogenem o T seene neáru grázina | 90-800ШМ | 80-80GITM |
|--|--|--|
| geneda novodni | Improved Land Use Management | Participative and anticipative and anticipative land anticipative land Development culture in Serqu LM |
| Deployment Business Plany Uhear Design Framework | To manage urbanisation of existing urban aroas | Fret projectise this work of Establishing this Land development forum |
| Doedsprud Doedsprud Business Flanyl Urban Design Franswork by June 2022 | Township Establishment for Lady Gray new settlements | Establishment of 2% Senqu Land Development Forum by June 2022 |
| 945 | 358 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 | |
| | | E E |
| 1. = c not even a drift resistable 2 = First dreft everalable but not yet approved 3 = dreft everlable; 4 = public periopistron or dreft conducted 5 = submitted on frine & approved | Approved General Plan 1 = Project inception 2 = 1 specialist study concluded 2 = 2 Specialist studies concluded 4 = development application completed 5 = General plan approved | 1 = orly TORs developed 2 = 1 introductory from held 3 = Sizakeholder training on municipal tand development policy and bylaws. 4 = 2 Land development from the with completed resolution register 5 = resolution register implemented |
| TO CHESTON TO THE STATE OF THE | 1= Project inception 2=1 specialist study 0=2 Specialist study 0=2 Specialist studies concluded 4pt-development application completed 5= General plan approved | Not a tarpet in O.1 |
| participation 1. = < not even a 1. = < not even a 1. = < not even a 2. = First draft avestable 2. = First draft avestable 2. = strainfact to standing of committee at standing of committee on last dawn a 4. = submitted before 5 4. = submitted before 5 4. = submitted before 5 4. = submitted with no objections 5. = standing 4 6. = standing 4 6. = standing 4 6. = standing 6 5. = standing 8 6. = stan | Competion of Professional Studies, legistration of complete application of complete application. I = 4.7% = 4.7% = 5.5% = 6.5% = 5.5% = | 1 any TORs developed to the control of the control |
| That Ut) and pounds. It is not even a oral in an arisiable 2 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = | Not a larget in C3 | 1 = 10% resolutions 1 implementad 2 is 30% resolutions 2 is 30% resolutions 3 is 50% resolutions 3 implementad in plementad 4 = 70% resolutions 4 implementad 5 = 60% resolutions 6 implementad 6 = 60% resolutions 6 implementad in plementad 6 = 60% resolutions 6 implementad 6 implementad 6 = 60% resolutions 6 implementad |
| 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 2 | 1- Project inception 1. 2-1 spocialist study statement of a 2. Spocialist study as studies concluded A statement of the descriptment of the application or posterior or paper approved approved approved approved approved | 1 = 10% resolutions E Implementad Lu 2 = 30% resolutions for implementad Implementad R = 20% resolutions plant implementad Implementad F = 20% resolutions Implementad F = 20% resolutions Implementad F = 20% resolutions Implementad Imp |
| Business Plan / Business Plan Design framework Outfl Plan Council approval | 1. Specialist A studiesr, 2. Proof of presentings of presentings of presenting application. Approval documents, Layout Plans | Estabishing the land Development in forum project plan, in progress reports in against project plan in against project plan in |
| Approval | Audits of Project N progress plan; P progress plan; P state township o state township o certificates | attendance register with resolution cresolution resolution material. |
| | Menager Town Plearing and all other directors as needed | Merager Town Planting and all other directors as needed |

| MOU's, | Manutes of the Council or SEM Approval Approval attendents and attendence register. Signed transfer documents. | Building Plan Audit of construction plans construction plans and project mgt reports reports reports by delegated authorities | | | | | |
|---|--|---|-------|-------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| completed | Not a target in Q4 | 3 = 2 = 42% 3 = 2 = 42% = 59% = 10 4 = 3 = 20% - 77% = α 5 = 90% + 18% = 89% = 10 6 = 90% + 18% = 99% = 10 | | | | | |
| Traditional leaders, DALRRD and community members ornuminity members ornuminity members available available ballson authourities conducted DALRRD and ballson all abers conducted 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = | Signed agreements between the municipality and the municipality and the redification the redification transfers | 1 = < 42% = 42% - 59% 60% - 77% 78% - 89% = 90%+ | | | -e | ature | |
| Not a l'adge in C | Not a Target in O2 | 2 1 = < 42% 3 = 2 = 42% - 59% 4 = 3 = 60% - 77% 5 4 = 78% - 89% 5 = 90% + | | | Employee Signature | Supervisors Signature | |
| Not a Larger in C. | Meeting with Public Works and DALRRD on the transfer of properties | 1 = < 42% 2 = 42% .59% 3 = 60% 77% 4 1 = 90% + | | | | | |
| | e e | na | | | | | |
| Formalization of Stersynthulages na 2 = First draft available 2 = First draft available but not yet submitted to SEM 3 = submitted SEM and addete, 4a submitted SEM and comments recieved 5 = Draft MOU completed | Facilitation of the transfer of 13 properties o National Public Works, 13 properties o National Public Works and 1 properties to Department of Agricultura 1 property to Department of Agricultura 1 = <47% 2 = 47% - 65% 4 = 65% - 95% 5 = 100%+ | 1 = <42% 2.2% - 52% - 52% 3 = 50% - 77% 5 = 90% + | | | | | Witness's Signature |
| 4% | нем | wau | | | | | |
| | %8 | 3% | 32% | 100% | | | formance Mg |
| Sterkspruit villages | Transfer of public works and rural development properties by | % approved building plans-compliance wrt SDF and other building regulations / standards | total | grand Total | | 7 | e (Representing Per |
| improved administration of land | Registration of properties under the relevant governemnt department | Ensure all Building / Construction Projects in the Department Comply with latest SDFs/Building regulations and other guidelines | | | | ë: | Corporate Services Performance Mgt Unit Witness's Name (Representing Performance Mgt Office): |
| motipation of miproved into the urban land edge (town) | Improved management of municipal properties | Safe and compliant budiding / construction municipal culture | | | ë | Supervising Manager's Name and Title: | es Performance Mg |
| to develop and monoproperation maintain urban and freepperation centres to attract into the urban and keep edge (town) investors to the municipality | Property Management E&SM 04 | Safe Housing / Buildings / Infrastructure | | | Employee's Name: | Supervising Man | Corporate Servic |

DIRECTOR MUNICIPAL DEVELOPMENT & TOWN PLANNING PART E: COMPETENCE – BASED INDIVIDUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN – WEIGHTING OF 20 %

This Pat of the Performance Agreement is about the development of the employee. Development here has been divided into three appects in order to meet all the employee work and personal development needs as provided for by the Skills development legislation of South Africa. The focus is on ensuring every employee develops and grows every year since job demands and the broader

The competence development in this Part E has been made measurable to stop the habit of employees always faining competence areas which they have no intention of pursuance of the accomplishment. Further, because inconsequential of pursuance and excomplishment furthers, because inconsequential principles on the season of individual Development Plant (IDPs) Intensages will calle take the present of individual Development Plant (IDPs) Intensages will calle take the pursuance development routes already agreed and co-algored by both puries at will. This Part E is meant to charge that culture, shifting the acquisition to be as important an performance achievement as achieving any other larges.

A previous skills auch against competence area agreed between the employee and his/her manager is assumed. If there has been no formal skills auch done, anecolosis self and manager performance-based auch with the pricess of finding meaningful baselines).

The following assumptions are important to fulfil, namely;

1. For the employee's Manager to;

o Provide all resources (time, morey, transport etc.) to afford the employee the desired development o To subsequently look cut for new work challenges that will use as much of the employee's new competences

o To ensure that the competences desired and pursued by all employees in her / his Business unit comply with the municipal Workplace Skills Development Plan (WSP)

o To ensure that this Part E is appropriately scored and corrective action taken just like all other sections of the Performance Scorecard. Similarly that it is given its due weighting in calculating the overall performance rating of the employee.

2. For the employee to;

o Complete all agreed training and development to the required competence levels

o Pash for and erjoy implementing the newly acquired competences rather than let then die off o Ensure that they take the planning and scoring of this Past E of the Scorecard as seriously as all other parts or else suffer the pain of being rated lovely in this area.

3. Below is the rating scale used to determine and calibrate competence targets

Name of Employee; Sandile Chaphi

Current Job Role / Title: Director Development and Town Planning

Name of Cluster / Department Where Employed: Municipal Development and Town Planning Period Covered by this Part B of the Employee's Performance Plan: 1st July, 2022 to 30th June 2023

| | | | | | the state of the s | Sand to Piersengle's Core St | artions in Part R of the Scorecard): | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|---|--|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---|------------------|---|---|--------------------------|
| Section 1: Job Specific Pers | sonal Development - UN of Mart & 10 | Action 1.00 Specing Personal Approximates - CAN of Part E got this industrial year (unless appress 5) Main in appearance and the process process of the part of th | A Will I III desired mark in a long | raigered competen | Targeted Competence Level (1 ick one of these two | | | | Assessment of Cor | Assessment of Competence Achievement / Individual Dev Levels Attained | ndividual Dev Le | vels Attained | | |
| Did about a | | | Danish (Current Compatence Dr | possible C levels! | | Budget to achieve this | Method to be used to acquire this | Means of Evidence | | | | | THE REAL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON | |
| Name of Identified Competence | KPI | Competence | level e.g. Basic) | | Advanced | competence | competence | Verification (MoVs) | Planned Target | | Actual De | Deviation | Rating score for Performance Purposes | Purposes |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| NUA | % level of competence on the 5 level scale used in the | el scale | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | I | | | | | |
| Section 2: General Manageri | Section 2: General Managerial / Employee Personal Development – 100% of Part E for Year 2022/23 | nt - 100% of Part E for Year 2022/23 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Number and | | | | eted Competence Level (Tick | Targeted Competence Level (Tick one of these two possible C levels) | Budget to achieve this | Method to be used to acquire this | Means of Evidence | | > | sessment of Co | Assessment of Competence Achievement / Individual Dev Levels Attained | dual Dev Levels Attained | |
| Name of identified | Weigh | Weighting of the KPI / Competence | e.g. Competent Level) | Companies I avail | Advanced | competence | competence | Verification (MoVs) | Planned Actual | a. | | Devi | Deviation from target (reflected at | Performance Purposes (at |
| competence | | | | | 17.000 | | | | | | ١ | | | |
| 2.1 Change Management | | 50,00% | Basic Com | Competent | | 2 | none planned | Certificates of | Competent Basic | ° | | | | |
| 2.2. Financial Management | | 50,00% | Basic Cor | Competent | | 2 | Fort Hare CPMD/MFM | completion | Competent Basic | c | | | | |
| Out Table Continue | | | | | | | Z | | | | | | | |

Employee's Name: Kenneth S Chapi

Supervising Manager's Name and Title:

Employee Signature:

Supervisors Signature

Date of Signing:

Date of Signing:

Corporate Services Performance Mgt Unit Witness's Name (Representing Performance Mgt Office): Witness's Signature

Date of Signing