

PERFORMANCE AGREEMENT

MADE AND ENTERED INTO BY AND BETWEEN:

SENQU MUNICIPALITY

"(Hereinafter referred to as the employer)"
Represented by

The Municipal Manager Mr M.M Yawa

and

Ms Z Koyana
Corporate Services Director
"(Hereinafter referred to as the employee)"

2022/2023

PERFORMANCE AGREEMENT: PART A

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 The Employer has entered into a contract of employment with the Employee in terms of section 57(1) (a) of the Local Government: Municipal Systems Act 32 of 2000 ("the Systems Act"). The Employer and the Employee are hereinafter referred to as "the Parties".
- 1.2 Section 57(1) (b) (ii) of the Systems Act, read with the Contract of Employment concluded between the parties, requires the parties to conclude an annual performance agreement within one (1) month after the beginning of each financial year of the Municipality.
- 1.3 The parties wish to ensure that they are clear about the goals to be achieved and secure the commitment of both the Employer the Employee to a set of outcomes that will secure Local Government policy goals.
- 1.4 Section 57(1) (a) (b), (4A), (4B), (4C) and (5) of the Systems Act; No 32 of 2000 and subsequent amendments (the Systems Act, No Act 7 of 2011), read with the Contract of Employment concluded between the parties, requires the parties to conclude an annual performance agreement within 60 days after the beginning of the financial year. The updated Performance review of the previous year will occur no later than July each year.
- 1.5 In its comprehensive version, this Performance Agreement is made up of five components, namely.
 - 1.5.1 PART A: The generic contextualizing agreement, which is not to be measured / assessed for performance but rather sets the legal and relationship context and stage for all other subsequent assessable sections / parts of this performance agreement.
 - 1.5.2 PART B (Approximate weighting = 80%): Employee's Core Contributory Performance Top Layer SDBIP-Based Scorecard Issues. It is the Performance Plan for which this executive employee alone leads and is accountable for achieving the specific and unique outcomes set out for his / her department across the whole municipality in the Top Layer SDBIP. Part B does not focus on outcomes that a generic for all executives performed within and for their departments. It is the first measurable Part, wherein measurable targets in all unique Strategic (from the TL SDBIP) and Functional (From Job Profiles) outcomes and objectives have been set. For brevity, these outcomes and objectives must come from all only those KPAs wherein the executive employee's work cannot be generalized with that of her / his other executive peers (in Part C below). The individual executive employee targets are based on the (i) Top Layer (Institutional) and (ii) Departmental /Directorate SDBIPs objectives and targets wherein the

- section 57 Manager plays a unique significant leadership contribution (iii) whatever strategic targets set in the Executive's Employment Contract Performance Clause.
- 1.5.3 PART C (approximate weighting = 0%): This is a crucial part of the executive employee's scorecard. It measures those aspects of work that all executives at this level are expected to perform on and achieve working as Heads for their departments. Since all executive directors do most of their work through other employees below them (subordinate / divisional managers), at this executive level, this Part C carries the greatest weighting of all the other scorecards in the Performance Agreement. It plans out and measures all departmental level strategic (in the departmental SDBIP) and generic / core managerial competence areas largely found in the functional list of the executive's COGTA Job Profile list of activities and outputs. Though most important, these core managerial competences (CMC and Critical Competence Requirements (CCRs), these are not unique. They are generic competences and performances that every section 57 executive employee must perform and be assessed on. These cover all 6 KPAs though mostly weighted heaviest on KPAs 3 (Financial Management & Viability); KPA 4: Institutional Transformation and Municipal Development) and KPA 5: Good Governance & Public Participation). These come from annually selected and prioritized Core Managerial Competences (CMCs) and Core Competency Requirements (CCRs). This selection process in this year has been streamlined to pick and use only those aspects which are already listed in the various Directors & MM's Job Profiles combined with those leadership roles implied in achieving each of the Top Layer SDBIP objectives and targets unique to the department that the executive leads.
- 1.5.4 PART D (0%): Antecedent Input Behaviours. These are strategically winning behaviours that define what an individual must do in order that they succeed in leading strategic performance in the municipality and in their department. These have been given a weighting of zero - meaning they will be understood to be applicable in future but are not measured this Financial Year as a way of not slowing down the overwhelming parts of change management. In the years when these will be measured, their weighting will be borrowed from Parts B and C above so that this Part D weighs a maximum of 15% and still retain the overall weighting of Parts B, C & D at 80. The logic of including Part D Scorecard in the Performance Agreement is that, any manager or any employee's performance is enhanced or reduced by certain behavioral habits / practices that they adopt and display, for example, how they manage time, how the make decisions, how they build, lead or work in teams to mention a few. To ensure that these winning antecedent behaviours are always at their most positive to impact performance, KPIs and Targets are set in this Part to measure the institutionally selected behaviours. The selection of the top five or so can be agreed at executive management level or assigned to the MM and her / his delegated director (like Corporate Services Director where Individual Performance resides).

- 1.5.5 PART E (20%): Competence based Individual Development or Learning Plan (CBIDP). These are commonly called Personal Development Plans (PDPs) or Individual Development Plans (IDPs). Different from previous practices in many organisations, in this Part E, these competence development areas will be planned and measured against (i) competence gaps in fulfilling current job requirements and performances (roughly 40% of this Part E) (ii) generic individual managerial or developmental competence / performance gaps (roughly 40% weighting of this Part E) (iii) life development goals (at 20% weighting at own cost but leave time allowed for examinations). Unlike in Senqu's previous practices on the PDPs, and unlike what many other municipalities where PDPs are just a "wish list", whose actual achievement does not impact the employee's performance, in this case these Competence-Based Individual Development Plans CBIDPs have set targets and will constitute 20% of the overall performance of that employee in line with the provisions of the Skills Dev Act.
- 1.5.6 This Performance Agreement cannot be interpreted as if it is replaceable by the Performance Clause in the Executive's 5 Year Contract of Employment as some do at times. This agreement is an annualized sub-set through whose cumulative performance measurement and tracking, whatever the executive would have committed to deliver in 5 years gets achieved.

2. PURPOSE OF THIS AGREEMENT

The purpose of this Agreement, as agreed by both parties, is to, inter alia.

- comply with the provisions of Section 57(1)(b), (4A),(4B) and (5) of the Act as well as the employment contract entered into between the parties;
- 2.2 specify objectives and targets defined and agreed with the employee and to communicate to the employer's expectations of the employee's performance and accountabilities in alignment with the Integrated Development Plan, Service Delivery and Budget Implementation Plan (SDBIP) and the Budget of the Municipality.
- 2.3 specify accountabilities as set out in a performance plan, which forms an annexure to the performance agreement.
- 2.4 monitor and measure performance against set targeted outputs.
- 2.5 use the performance agreement as the basis for assessing whether the employee has met the performance expectations applicable to his or her job.

- 2.6 in the event of outstanding performance, to appropriately reward the employee; and
- 2.7 give effect to the employer's commitment to a performance-orientated relationship with its employee in attaining equitable and improved service delivery.

3 COMMENCEMENT AND DURATION

- 3.1 Notwithstanding the date of signature hereto, this Agreement will commence on the 1st July 2022 and will remain in force until 30th June 2023. Thereafter, a new Performance Agreement, made up of the Performance Plan (the four parts B, C, & D Scorecards) and Personal Development Plan (CBIDP) shall be concluded between the parties for the next financial year or any portion thereof along the lines explained above.
- 3.2 The parties will review all the provisions of this Performance Agreement against its own efficaciousness as well as against existing and / or new local government policy changes in June of each year as part of the Performance Management System Annual Review. The parties will conclude a new Performance Agreement and Performance Plan that replaces this Agreement at least once a year by not later than 31st July of each successive financial year.
- 3.3 This Agreement will terminate on the termination of the **Employee**'s contract of employment for any reason.
- 3.4 The content of this Agreement may be revised at any time during the above-mentioned period to redetermine the applicability of the matters agreed upon.
- 3.5 If at any time during the validity of this Agreement, the work environment alters (whether as a result of Government or Council decisions or otherwise) to the extent that the contents of this Agreement are no longer appropriate, the contents shall immediately be revised.
- 3.6 All revisions and amendments of this Agreement must be **immediately** noted and **immediately** counter-signed by the two agreeing parties, namely, the Employer and the Employee.

- 4 PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVES, KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (KPIs), BASELINES AND TARGETS
 - 4.1 Mutually aligned performance objectives, related KPIs, their KPI related baselines and targets form the basis and value chain of any strategic performance management system of any organisation like the municipality.
 - 4.2 They are kept in proper alignment through a Performance Plan as described below.
 - 4.3 The full Performance Plan or Scorecard (Parts B, C, D & E) sets out-
 - 4.1.1 the performance objectives and targets that must be met by the Employee; and
 - 4.1.2 the time frames within which those performance objectives and targets must be met.
 - The performance objectives and targets reflected in (Parts B, C and D) as well as the Part E: (Competence Based Individual Development Plan (CBIDP) are set by the Employer in consultative agreement (hence the term Performance Agreement) with the Employee and are all based on or clearly aligned to the approved Integrated Development Plan, Top Layer Service Delivery and Budget Implementation Plan (TL SDBIP) and the Budget of the Employer and shall include key strategic and functional objectives; key performance indicators; target dates, weightings to reflect urgency and prioritisation, resource requirements, Means of Evidence Verification (MOVs).
 - 4.2.1 The key objectives describe the main intended achievements that need to be accomplished as derived from the Institutional (Top Layer) and Departmental SDBIPs wherein the Director makes their contribution in the Municipality.
 - 4.2.2 The **key performance indicators** provide the details of the evidence that must be provided to show that a key objective has been achieved. **Key** here means that there are many indicators from where one can chose, so the choice must prioritise only the few that will move forward the strategic objectives the most.
 - 4.2.3 The baselines are very important and must be decided consistent with language and units implied in the performance indicator when measurement of the indicator starts at the beginning of the year.

- 4.2.4 The **targets** describe the quantity or quality of the performance achieved in that objective and key performance indicator. In most cases, because the timeframe for achieving such a target is understood to be quarterly, semi-annually or annually, timeframes are not mentioned beyond these terms or columns.
- 4.2.5 The weightings in % show the importance of the key performance indicators and their targets relative to each other. They are crucial in computing the weighted average ratings at the end of period (quarterly, semi-annually, or annually). It is prudent to always work with an internal total weighting of 100% for each Scorecard (be it Part B, C, D or E).
- 4.5 The **Employee**'s performance will, always, be measured in terms of contributions to the goals and strategies set out in the **Employer**'s Integrated Development Plan and other sub-ordinate plans and projects that support the achievement of this IDP.

5 THE MUNICIPALITY'S PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

- The Employee accepts that the purpose of the performance management system is to provide a comprehensive system with specific performance standards and targets to assist the Employer, Management and Municipal staff to perform to reach the standards required for each local government KPA.
- 5.3 The Employer will consult and support the Employee about all aspects required to achieve the specific performance standards that will be included in the performance management system as applicable to the Employee.
- The Employee undertakes to actively focus towards the promotion and implementation of all the KPAs, objectives and KPIs (including special projects relevant to the employee's responsibilities) within the Local Government framework.
- The criteria upon which the performance of the Employee shall be assessed shall consist of two components, both of which shall be contained in the Performance Agreement.

- 5.5.1 The Employee must be assessed against both components, with a weighting of 80:20 allocated to the Key Performance Areas, Objectives and Targets set in PARTS B, C, & D (when Part D is being measured) and the Competence Based Individual Development Plans (CBIDP in lieu of the usual PDP) based on prioritized Learning & Development Requirements (CCRs) respectively.
- 5.5.2 Each area of assessment (as per the four Parts described above) will be weighted and will contribute a specific part to the total score accordingly.
- 5.5.3 KPAs covering the main areas of work (PARTS B, C & D) will account for 80% and selected Developmental CRs (in the PART E CBIDP) will account for 20% of the final assessment.
- 5.5.4 The total score must be determined using the rating calculator which will always be appropriately calibrated to accommodate all the three or four measurable scorecards and the weighting given to each KPI and target within each KPA as found in the four measurable scorecards, namely, Parts B, C, D and E. (This year Part D has been left out for introduction next year).
- 5.6 The Employee's assessment will be based on his / her performance in terms of the outputs / outcomes (measured through the performance indicators and their related targets) identified as per attached Performance Plan (PARTS B, C & D), which are collectively and distributively linked to all the 6 KPA's, and will constitute 80% of the overall assessment result as per the weightings agreed to between the Employer and Employee. The weightings are set based, firstly, on this director's key job profiles which specify key activities / roles assigned to the incumbent as the director (HOD) of the department in their pursuit of each their own core KPAs, notwithstanding that this director, like all others must play supportive roles in the work done in other KPAs (signified by varying weighting each year).
- 5.7 The weightings shown below, while changeable to align with both the prevailing strategic and functional thrusts that this director must pursue, they must align with the average weighting per KPA assigned to each of the 80% Scorecards (Parts B and C combined). The Table below shows the weightings agreed for this current year for this director.

Key Performance Areas (KPA's)	Weighting
Basic Service Delivery	9%
Local Economic Development	6%
Municipal Financial Viability and Management	18%

Key Performance Areas (KPA's)	Weighting
Institutional Transformation and Organisational Development	45%
Good Governance and Public Participation	22%
Total	100%

- In the case of managers / directors directly accountable to the Municipal Manager, the weighting of the key performance areas related to the strategic and functional area of the relevant Manager, will always be subject to negotiation between the Municipal Manager and the relevant manager / director. These agreed weightings must be translated to the top of each KPA in the actual Plan templates (PARTS B, C & D).
- The developmental Competency Requirements (CR) (learning requirements in the CBIDP) will make up part of the other 20% of the Employee's assessment score. CRs that are deemed to be most critical for the Employee's specific job should be selected (√) from the list below as agreed to between the Employer and Employee. Three of the CRs are compulsory for Municipal Managers. These Leading CRs make PART C Generic / Core Managerial Competences (which are common to every Director / Senior Manager as agreed. A selection of Optional Core Competencies is selected each year and will form part of the Antecedent Input Behaviours (as explained earlier).
- In this year's Performance Agreement, all of the critical and desired competency requirements have mostly been taken care of through Part C built largely on the directors' Job Profiles, which, coming from COGTA have already selected which of the CRs are important. So the table below is only a guide not a prescription for the KPIs and targets set out in Part C.

executives and managers at the same level!		
LEADING COMPETENCIES	V	WEIGHT
Strategic Direction and Leadership	7	10%
People Management	1	10%
Program and Project Management	1	10%
Financial Management	V	10%
Change Leadership	7	10%
Governance Leadership	1	10%
CORE COMPETENCIES		
Moral Competence .	1	6%
Planning and Organising	- \ \ \ \ \ - \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	6%

CORE COMPETENCY REQUIREMENTS (CCRs) Few executives and managers at the same level!	OK LIMI LOTELO	ounic weighting it
LEADING COMPETENCIES	1	WEIGHT
Analysis and Innovation	1	8%
Knowledge and Information Management	1	6%
Communication	7	8%
Results and Quality Focus	1	6%
Total percentage	-	100%

6. EVALUATING PERFORMANCE

- 6.1 The Performance Plan Scorecards (Parts B, C and D) to this Agreement each set out -
 - 6.1.1 the standards and procedures for evaluating the Employee's performance; and
 - 6.1.2 the intervals for the evaluation of the Employee's performance.
- 6.2 Despite the establishment of agreed intervals for evaluation, the Employer may in addition, review the Employee's performance at any stage while the contract of employment remains in force, subject to sufficient notice and reasons for justifying the variation being given to the employee.
- 6.3 Personal growth and development needs identified during any performance review discussion must be documented in a Competence-Based Individual Development Personal Development Plan as well as the actions agreed to and implementation must take place within set time frames.
- 6.4 The Employee's performance will be measured in terms of contributions to the goals and strategies set out in the Employer's IDP and subordinate TL SDBIP and Departmental SDBIP and operational plans.
- 6.5 The annual performance appraisal will involve:

6.5.1 Assessment of the achievement of results as outlined in the performance plan:

(a) Each KPA should be assessed according to the extent to which the specified standards or performance targets set for each key performance indicator (KPI) have been met and with due regard to ad hoc tasks that had to be performed under the KPA.

Performance Agreement – Part A: Corporate Services Director, 2022/23

- (b) An indicative rating on the five-point scale should be provided for each target first and then aggregated for each KPA.
- (c) The applicable assessment rating calculator (refer to paragraph 6.5.3 below) must then be used to add the scores and calculate a final weighted average rating for each KPA score.

6.5.2 Assessment of the Competency Requirements

- (a) Each Competency Requirement should be assessed according to the extent to which the specified standards (based on the standard being treated as a KPI and calibrated targets set, agreed and subsequently met).
- (b) An indicative rating on the five-point scale should be provided for each selected CR based on set KPIs and related targets.
- (c) This rating should be multiplied by the weighting given to each selected CR during the contracting process, to provide a score.
- (d) The applicable assessment rating calculator (refer to paragraph 6.5.1) must then be used to add the scores and calculate a final CR score.

6.5.3 Overall rating

An overall rating is calculated by using the applicable assessment-rating calculator. Such overall rating represents the outcome of the performance appraisal.

- The calibration of all set targets in each section / PART of the Performance agreement as well as the subsequent assessment of the performance attained by the Employee for each target will be based on the following rating scale for KPA's and the selected Competence Requirements (CRs) as reflected Part C taking from the Directors' Job Profiles which in turn have drawn them from the following CRs.
- 6.7 Below is the local government municipal regulations rating scale that each municipality has adopted.

Lovel	Torminology	Description		Rating			
Level	Terminology	Description	1	2	3	4	5
5	Outstanding performance	Performance far exceeds the standard expected of an employee at this level. The appraisal indicates that the Employee has achieved above fully effective results against all performance criteria and indicators as specified in the PA and Performance plan and maintained this in all areas of responsibility throughout the year.					
4	Performance significantly above expectations	Performance is significantly higher than the standard expected in the job. The appraisal indicates that the Employee has achieved above fully effective results against more than half of the performance criteria and indicators and fully achieved all others throughout the year.					
3	Fully effective	Performance fully meets the standards expected in all areas of the job. The appraisal indicates that the Employee has fully achieved effective results against all significant performance criteria and indicators as specified in the PA and Performance Plan.					
2	Not fully effective	Performance is below the standard required for the job in key areas. Performance meets some of the standards expected for the job. The review/assessment indicates that the employee has achieved below fully effective results against more than half the key performance criteria and indicators as specified in the PA and Performance Plan.					

Level Terminology		Description		Rating				
				2	3	4	5	
		Performance does not meet the standard expected						
-		for the job. The review/assessment indicates that						
		the employee has achieved below fully effective						
		results against almost all of the performance criteria						
	Unacceptable	and indicators as specified in the PA and						
1	performance	Performance Plan. The employee has failed to						
		demonstrate the commitment or ability to bring						
		performance up to the level expected in the job						
		despite management efforts to encourage						
		improvement.	č					

- 6.7 For purposes of evaluating the annual performance of the Municipal Manager, an evaluation panel constituted of the following persons must be established moderate the ratings first agreed between the Employee i.e. the MM and their supervisor (Executive Mayor)-
 - 6.7.1 Executive Mayor or Mayor.
 - 6.7.2 Chairperson of the performance audit Committee or the Audit Committee in the absence of a performance audit committee.
 - 6.7.3 Member of the Mayoral or Executive Committee or in respect of a plenary type of Municipality, another member of Council.
 - 6.7.4 Mayor and/or Municipal Manager from another Municipality; and
 - 6.7.5 Member of a Ward Committee as nominated by the Executive Mayor or Mayor.
- 6.8 For purposes of evaluating the annual performance of Managers directly accountable to the Municipal Managers, an evaluation panel constituted of the following persons must be established;
 - 6.8.1 The Municipal Manager.
 - 6.8.2 Chairperson of the performance Audit Committee or the Audit Committee in the absence of a performance Audit Committee.
 - 6.8.3 Member of the Mayoral or Executive Committee or in respect of a plenary type of Municipality, another member of Council; and
 - 6.8.4 another Municipal Manager from another Municipality.
- 6.9 The Manager responsible for Human Resources of the Municipality must provide secretariat services to the evaluation panels referred to in sub-regulations (6.7) and (6.8).

7. SCHEDULE FOR PERFORMANCE REVIEWS

- 7.1 Before the on-set of the Performance Management Cycle, all targets in PARTS B, C, and D must be calibrated against the rating scale of 1 5 in order to minimize common subjectivity that occurs when assessments are done during appraisal time.
- 7.2 The performance of each Employee in relation to his / her performance agreement shall be reviewed on the following dates with the understanding that reviews in the first and third quarter may be verbal if performance is satisfactory:

First quarter:	July – September	Period Review Report Concluded on
Second quarter	: October – December	Period Review Report Concluded on
Third quarter: Ja	anuary – MarchF	Period Review Report Concluded on
Fourth quarter:	April – June F	Period Review Report Concluded on

- 7.2 During these review sessions, targets are scored or rated, the targets may also be renegotiated and adjusted in re-alignment to the available budgets and other prevailing environmental conditions as necessary. The Employer shall keep a record of the mid-year review and annual assessment meetings and scores for cumulative averaging at the end of each year as provided by the policy.
- 7.3 Performance feedback shall be based on the Employer's assessment of the Employee's performance done in mutual agreement with the employee.
- 7.4 The Employer will be entitled to review and make reasonable changes to the provisions of all PARTS "B, C & D & E" from time to time for operational reasons. The Employee will be fully consulted before any such change is made.
- 7.5 The Employer may amend the provisions of PARTS B, C, and D & E whenever the performance management system is adopted, implemented and / or amended as the case may be. In that case the Employee will be fully consulted and ideally be in agreement before any such change is made. Both parties will sign-off any amendments made as such agreements are legally binding on both parties.

8. DEVELOPMENTAL REQUIREMENTS

The Competence-Based Individual Development Plan (CBIDP) in lieu of the normal Personal Development Plan (PDP) for addressing developmental gaps is attached as Part E as annexed.

9. OBLIGATIONS OF THE EMPLOYER

- 9.1 The Employer shall -
 - 9.1.1 create an enabling environment to facilitate effective performance by the employee.
 - 9.1.2 provide access to skills development and capacity building opportunities.
 - 9.1.3 work collaboratively with the Employee to solve problems and generate solutions to common problems that may impact on the performance of the Employee.
 - 9.1.4 on the request of the Employee delegate such powers reasonably required by the Employee to enable him / her to meet the performance objectives and targets established in terms of this Agreement; and
 - 9.1.5 make available to the Employee such resources as the Employee may reasonably require from time to time to assist him / her to meet the performance objectives and targets established in terms of this Agreement.

10. CONSULTATION

- 10.1 The Employer agrees to consult the Employee timeously where the exercising of the Employer and / or Employee's delegated powers will have amongst others, a direct effect on the performance of any of the Employee's key functions, in particular;
 - 10.1.1 at the initial agreement of this document called the Performance Agreement (Parts A, B, C, D and E), it is envisaged that consultative discussions will be held and that the final signed off agreement will be signed for each part to signify that there was discussion and consensus for each part of this agreement. It is envisaged that the employee will prepare and present to the Employer a draft Performance Agreement (in all its agreed Parts showing calibrated targets for each scorecard) for discussion at a timely agreed date and time. Once agreed, dated and signed by both parties, the Performance Agreement is deemed to be legally binding to both parties, such that no party shall vary any part of it without consultation and agreement with the other Party.
 - 10.1.2 at every performance appraisal / review session (quarterly in line with the municipal performance regulations, unless agreed otherwise within the municipality's Performance Management policy),

the Employee shall present their own self rating scores and reasons for discussion and comparing notes with the Employer (who will also have already scored the employee independently prior to the meeting). In this meeting notes are being compared, agreements on performance levels to be maintained, or improved agreed with clear additional support for the employee being agreed. Such support will include necessary coaching and mentoring, training and development arrangement. It is at these formal review / appraisal sessions that any targets may be adjusted, in writing and all changes co-signed against this initial agreement by both parties indicating reasons for such a change.

- 10.1.3 continuously commit the Employee to implement or to give effect to a decision made by the Employer that affect and are affected by this agreement;
- 10.1.4 continuously commit the Employer to render all necessary support (resources, emotional, intellectual and physical) as may be reasonably needed by the employee to optimally perform what has been agreed in this Performance Agreement; and
- 10.1.5 that has a substantial financial effect on the Employer.
- 10.2 The Employer agrees to inform the Employee of any outcome of any decisions taken outside the consultation with the employee, with regards to the implementation of this Performance Agreement pursuant to the exercise of powers contemplated in 10.1 above as soon as is practicable, as part of the Employer's coaching roles in order to enable the Employee to take any necessary action without delay. It is envisaged that such decisions will be rare and mostly coming from outside the municipal administration sphere like council, COGTA, National Treasury, SALGA etc.

11. MANAGEMENT OF EVALUATION OUTCOMES

- 11.1 The final evaluation of the Employee's performance will be conclusively pronounced by the selected panel as envisaged in section 27 (d) of the Performance Regulation, also recapped in sub-sections 6.7 and 6.8 in this document above. The panel will perform a moderating role on all signed performance appraisal reports of each review session held and agreed between the Employer and Employee in the course of the year. For administrative fairness, both the Employer and the Employee should always be present or at least represented to clarify any issues that the panel may wish to have clarified.
- 11.2 Based on the final scores / ratings presented to and confirmed by the panel, a performance bonus of between 5% to 14% of the total remuneration package may be paid to the Employee (subject to the policy and the resources available to the municipality as stated in the Systems Act) in recognition

of outstanding performance that the municipality wishes to sustain and also in line with the provisions of the municipal performance management regulations. Such reward will be constituted as follows:

- 11.2.1 a rating of 4 out of the 5 point rating scale is given a score of between 130% to 149% is awarded a performance bonus ranging from 5% to 9%; and
- 11.2.2 a rating of 5 out of the 5 point rating scale is given a score of between 150% and above is awarded a performance bonus ranging from 10% to 14%.
- **N.B.:** It should be noted that the rating process (against the 5 point scale) must always happen first before the use of the percentage equivalents ranging from 70% 166%+ because not all targets can be calibrated up to 166%+, so it is better to rate first on the numbers and use the % as qualifiers as shown below.
- 11.3 In the case of unacceptable performance, the Employer shall
 - 11.3.1 On confirming performance shortfalls, immediately provide systematic remedial or developmental support to assist the Employee to improve his or her performance; and
 - 11.3.2 After appropriate performance counselling and having provided the necessary guidance and/ or support as well as reasonable time for improvement in performance, the Employer may consider steps to terminate the contract of employment of the Employee on grounds of unfitness or incapacity to carry out his or her duties.

12.0 MANAGEMENT OF EVALUATION OUTCOMES

12.1 The evaluation of the Employee's performance shall form the basis for rewarding outstanding and excellent performance only (ratings 4 and 5) or correcting unacceptable performance (Ratings 2 and below) as reflected in the table below –

Rating (out of the 5 point scale)	% Score (for those instances where performance is meaningfully stretchable beyond 100%)	Equivalent on a Usual 100% Maximum (where performance can never be meaningfully calibrated beyond 100%)	% of annual package payable as a performance Bonus
4.00 - 4.19	130.0% - 133.8%	(65.0% - 66.9%)	5%
4.20 - 4.39	134.0% – 137.8%	(67.0% - 68.9%)	6%
		1 ` '	

4.40 – 4.59	138.0% - 141.8%	(69.0% - 70.9%)	7%
4.60 – 4.79	142.0% - 145.8%	(71.0% - 72.9%)	8%
4.80 – 4.99	146.0% - 149.8%	(73.0% - 74.9%)	9%
5.00 - 5.19	150.0% - 152.8%	(75.0% - 76.4%)	10%
5.20 - 5.39	153.0% - 155.8%	(76.5% - 77.9%)	11%
5.40 - 5.59	156.0% - 158.8%	(78.0% - 79.4%)	12%
5.60 – 5.79	159.0% - 161.8%	(79.5% - 80.9%)	13%
5.80 – 5.99	162% - 164.8% +	(81.0% - 82.4%) +	14%

- 12.1.1 At the end of the 4th quarter, the Executive Authority will determine, based on the final panel ratings based on the rating / scoring table above, if the s56 / s57 Director / manager is eligible for a performance bonus as envisaged in his/her contract of employment based on the bonus allocations shown in the table above.
- 12.2 In the case of unacceptable performance, the Employer shall
 - 12.2.1 Provide systematic remedial or developmental support to assist with **Employee** to improve his or her performance; and
 - 12.2.2 After appropriate performance counseling and having provided the necessary guidance and/or support as well as reasonable time for improvement in performance, the **Employer** may consider steps to firstly shift the employee to another position within the municipality (if possible). If that does not help, then and only then will the Employer invoke procedures to terminate the contract of employment of the **Employee** on grounds of unfitness or incapacity to competently perform out his or her duties.
 - 12.2.3 Nothing contained in this Agreement in any way limits the right of the Municipality to terminate the Director's contract of employment with or without notice for any other breach by the Director of his obligations to the Municipality or for any other valid reason in line with fair labour practice and law.

13 MERITS AWARDS

13.1.1 Merit awards for Section 56 employees are determined by performance against targets. Once performance criteria have been established, performance targets are reviewed regularly. At the end of the financial year, actual performance is compared against the agreed performance targets to determine the magnitude of the merit increase. The merit increase is calculated as a percentage of the total annual package of the employee, as indicated in the table hereunder.

Score / 200	Merit
130 to 141 (65%-70%)	1% of total package
142 to 149 (71% - 74%)	2% of total package
150 to 161 (75%-80%)	3% of total package

162 to 165 (81 – 82%)	4% of total package
166+ (83% +)	5% of total package

13.1.2 Merit awards are subject to policy and Budgetary provisions made on an annual basis the merit bonuses may be paid as a 'once off' payment or at agreed quarterly intervals i.e. over a number of months.

14 DISPUTE RESOLUTION

- 14.1 Any disputes about the nature of the Municipal Manager's performance agreement, whether it relates to key responsibilities, priorities, methods of assessment and/ or any other matter provided for, shall be mediated by –
 - 14.1.1 The MEC for Local Government in the province within thirty (30) days of receipt of a formal dispute from the Employee; or
 - 14.1.2 Any other person appointed by the MEC.
 - 14.1.3 In the case of Managers directly accountable to the Municipal Manager, a member of the Municipal Council, provided that such member was not part of the evaluation panel provided for in sub-regulation 27(4)(e) of the Municipal Performance Regulations, 2006, within thirty (30) days of receipt of a formal dispute from the employee.
- 14.2.1 In the event that the mediation process contemplated above fails, clause 20.3 of the Contract of Employment shall apply.

15. GENERAL

- 15.1 The contents of this agreement and the outcome of any review conducted in terms of Annexure A may be made available to the public by the Employer.
- 15.2 Nothing in this agreement diminishes the obligations, duties, or accountabilities of the Employee in terms of his/ her contract of employment, or the effects of existing or new regulations, circulars, policies, directives or other instruments.
- 15.3 The performance assessment results of the Municipal Manager must be submitted to the MEC responsible for Local Government in the relevant province as well as the National Minister responsible for Local Government, within fourteen (14) days after the conclusion of the assessment.

Performance Agreement – Part A: Corporate Services Director, 2022/23

16.	The signatures below signify that the contained and implied in this Part A	e Employer and the Employee have discussed and agree on every of the Performance Agreement.	/ deta
Thus	s done and signed at	on this the day of 2022.	
AS \	WITNESSES:		
1		Zimasa Koyana EMPLOYEE (DIRECTOR)	
2			
AS	WITNESSES:		
1		MUNICIPAL MANAGER	

SENQU LM PERFORMANCE AGREEMENT PART B - MUNICIPAL CORE MANAGERIAL SCORECARD 2022/23

ID of Incumbent:

Name of Director / Incumbent. Ms Zimasa Koyana
Title of Incumbent: Director Corporate Services
Period of Scorecard Coverage. 1st July, 2022 - 30th June 2023
WEIGHT OF PART B = 80% of ENTIRE 3 PART SCORECARDS OF THE PERFORMANCE AGREEMENT:

Supporting Director		All Sectional Managers	All Sectional Managers	Manager Administratio n and Public Participation			Supporting Director				
Verse	Evidence Verification	Council or SEM Approval	Council or SEM Approval	Electronic			Means of	Evidence	Contracts	Letters	
	AUDIT EVIDENCE	Adopted by Council	Adopted by Council	Reports on incoming completials sent to completials sent to the carbon sent of the subsequent feedback to complement within 7 days.				AUDIT EVIDENCE	Signed and dated contract register	Proof of submission	
	QTR 4			1 = < 42% 42% 59% 3 = 60% 5 = 90% + 78% - 89% 5 = 90% +				QTR 4		1 = < 42% 2 = 42% - 59% 3 = 60% - 77% 4 = 78% - 89% 5 = 90% +	
QUARTERLY TARGETS	QTR 3						QUARTERLY TARGETS	QTR3	1=<42% 2 =42%-59% 3= 60%-77% 4=78%- 89% 5=90%+		
QUARTER	QTR 2	1=<42% 2= 42%-59% 3=60%- 77% 4=78%- 89% 5=90%+	1 = < 42% 2 = 60% - 59% 3 = 60% - 77% 4 = 78% - 5 = 90% + 5 = 90% +	1 = 42% 3 = 2 42% 55% 3 = 60% 77% 5 = 90%+			QUARTER	QTR2		1 = < 42% 2 = 42% - 59% 3 = 60% - 77% 4 = 78% - 5 = 90% + 5 = 90%	
	QTR.1		12		-			QTR 1	1 = < 42% 2 = 42% - 59% 3 = 60% - 77% 4 = 78% - 89% 5 = 90% +		
INPUT - CHANGE TO	ADDITIONAL RESOURCES REQUIRED						INPUT - CHANGE TO	RESOURCES			
	ANNUAL TARGET	1=<42% 2= 42%-59% 3= 60%-77% 4= 78%-89% 5=	1 = < 42% 2 = 42% - 59% 3 = 60% - 77% 4 = 78% - 89% 5 =	1 = < 42% 2 = 42% - 55% 60% - 77% 89% 89% 5 = 90% +				ANNUAL TARGET	1 = < 42% 2 = 42% - 59% 3 = 60% - 77% 4 = 78% - 89% 5 = 90% +	1 = < 42% 2 = 42% - 59% 60% - 77% 4 = 78% - 89% 5 = 90% +	
	BASELINE 30 JUNE 2022	New indicator	New indicator	New indicator			RASELINE 30 ILINE	2022	New Indicator	New Indicator	
WEIGHTING OF KPI IN %	Pe	3%	3%6	%6.	ti 9%		WEIGHTING OF KPLIN %		3%	386	%9
	STRATEGIC ACTION INDIVIDUAL KPI (Based Job profile and SDBIP) on the strategic action)	% / Proportion of Strategies ready and approved on time	% / Proportion of masterplans and Divisional Operational plans ready and	% complaints sent to the forboxess within two days and feedback submitted to complainent within 7 days	Sub Total Weighti		INDIVIDITAL KPI (Based		% contracts correctly concluded within the first 10 days	% of submission of termination claims within 14 days	Sub Total Weighti 6%
	STRATEGIC ACTION (Job profile and SDBIP)	Develop customer care strategy and oversee development of an annual plan	Coversee implemention of annual plan Tracking on compliants that have	been referred to Decorderses within 2 days decorders within 7 days			STRATEGIC ACTION		1. Finalising employment contracts as per the approved EPWP Framework		
JE	NOOTUO	Improved Service Delivery				IT = 6%	BMC	ootto	Improved socio economic conditions of the poor.		
	Focus Al			Service Delivery and Custo		KPA 2: LOCAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT = 6%		FOCUS		JOB CREATION A	
BBMUN	TL SDBIP KPI	Infrastructure	e Delivery and	GGPP04-02 Leadership of Basic Servic		L ECONOM!		DORY GOI	S JOB PROFILE	TED 05 -04 PMD WW	_

RAMME	AREA	OME	STRATEGIC ACTION	INDIVIDUAL KPI (Based	WEIGHTING OF KPI IN %	BASELINE 30 JUNE		INPUT - CHANGE TO ADDITIONAL		QUARTER	RLY TARGETS			Means of	Supporting Director
IDP PROGRAMME NUMBER	FOCUS	OUTCOME		on the strategic action)		2022	ANNUAL TARGET	RESOURCES REQUIRED	QTR1	QTR2	QTR 3	QTR 4	AUDIT EVIDENCE	Evidence Verification	
MFAVO1-01	SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT (ADMINISTRATION AND REPORTING) - MFMV01	Smooth, speed and efficient running of outsourced municipal services an products	vet to reduce unnecessary outsourcing of goods and services where possible; ensure all staff called for BID service duty take it like I national service call to minimise costs of delays; ensure supplier database is constantly cleaned an updated leaving the best service providers; Randomly semigre cunning contracts to ensure full compliance; act ewithy where irregularities appear.	start-up / lead time due to delays in procurement	3%	New indicator	1 = < 7% 2 = 7% - 9.9% 3 = 10% - 12.9% 4= 13% - 14.9% 5 = 15%		1 = < 7% 2 = 7% - 9,9% 3 = 10% - 12,9% 4 = 13% - 14,9% 5 = 15%	1 = < 7% 2 = 7% - 9,9% 3 = 10% - 12,9% 4 = 13% - 14,9% 5 = 15%	1 = < 7% 2 = 7% - 9,9% 3 = 10% - 12,9% 4 = 13% - 14,9% 5 = 15%	= 7% - 9,9% 3 = 10% -12,9% 4=	SCM Audited and Project Manager Reports	Auditor and Project Director -co- Sign-off	CFO as SC managers; other directoss a line project directors
MFRVOLDS	TION AND REPORTING) - MFMV01	and implemental on of	enforce compliant value- for-money service t departmental appointments. Manage down all costly default of the costly default of the costly default of the costly who do not avail themselves for work on sime.	that are submitted timeously	3%	New indicator	draft available but not yet approved 3 = submitted for approval on last due date; 4 = submitted before due	available 2 = First draft available but not yet approved 3 = submitted for approval on last due date; 4 =	approved 3 = submitted for approval on last due date; = submitted before due date and approved with minor corrections to be suggested; 5 = submitted on time &	draft available but not yet	Quarterly, semi-annual and annual financial reports.	Audited Reports Signed - off by respective directors.	BID Committee Meeting resolution	BID committee Chair sign-off	CFO as St managers other directors s line projec directors
	Budget Expenditure Deviations St	Financially sustainable municipality	Keep track on budget to expenditure trends, apply corrective advice to CFO	% budget to expenditure deviation within department (both CAPEX and OPEX)	4%	New Indicator	1 = >5,77% 2 = 5,03% - 5,77% 3 = 3,87 - 5% 4 = 2,71% - 3,83% 5 = < 2,71%		= 5,03% - 5,77% 3 = 3,87 - 5% 4 =	1 = >5,77% 2 = 5,03% - 5,77% 3 = 3,87 - 5% 4 = 2,71% - 3,83% 5 = < 2,71%	= 5,03% - 5,77% 3 = 3,87 - 5% 4 =	1 = >5,77% 2 = 5,03% - 5,77% 3 = 3,87 - 5% 4 = 2,71% - 3,83% 5 = < 2,71%	Audited Financial Statements	Auditor Sign- offs	CFO as S managers other directoss line project directors
SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT (ADMINISTRATION AND REPORTING) - MFMV01	tions	Disciplinary	constituted Disciplinary	%/proportion of established Disciplinary Board	2%	New indicator	1 = <30% after the stipulated time; 2 = 20% after the stipulated time; 3 = on time; 4 = 20% before the stipulated time; 5> 30% before the stipulated time			1 = <30% after the stipulated time; 2: 20% after the stipulated time; 3 = on time; 4 = 20% before the stipulated time; 5> 30% before the stipulated time	:		Appointment letters of Disciplinary Board member	s	CFO as S managers other directoss line project directors

l=	ls	1 1	1
OFO es SCM menspers; other droctors as five project droctors	OFO as SCM menegors; other drectus as the project drectors		
	Director Corporatio Services		
Charter and Council Resolution	1. Approved minimum compatiney reports submitted to National submission.		
	1 = <00% ether the stroughed from: 2 = 20% ether the stroughed from the stroughed from the strong the strong from the strong f		
F	Noi a urget in CO		
1 = <30% efter the structured fine; 2 = 20% efter the structured fine; 3 = 00 fine; 4 = 20% before the structured fine; 5 = 30% before the structured fine; 5 = 30% before the structured fine fine structured fine.	1 = <30% after the 2 = 20% after the 2 = 20% after the acquished 4 = 20% before the acquished from: 5 = 20% before the acquished from 5 = 20% and 5 = 2		
	Not a target in Q1		
1 = <0% of the Phe expended time; 2 = <0% of the Phe expended time; 3 = of the expension of	1 = <0% after the 2 = <0% after the 2 = 20% after the 3 = <0% after the 3 = <0% after the 4 = 20% before the 4 = 20% before the 4 = 20% before the 5 = 20% before the 4 = 20% before the		
New Indicator	New Indicator		
*6	5	18%	
%/proportion of Asproved Decipinary Board Charter	N of approved nivinum competency reports reducing 75 % staff reducing 75 % staff mathers who ments experiences submitted to NT on thro	Sub Total Weight 18%	
g rocomend atons of the Board			
	игудшоо релоидиц		

.

KPA 4: MUNICIPAL TRANSFORMATION & INSTITUTIONAL	I DEVELOPMENT = 45%
---	---------------------

PROGRAMME	FOCUS AREA	OUTCOME	STRATEGIC ACTION	INDIVIDUAL KPI (Based	WEIGHTING OF KPI IN %	BASELINE 30 JUNE	ANNUAL TARGET	INPUT - CHANGE TO ADDITIONAL		QUAR	TERLY TARGETS		AUDIT EVIDENCE	Means of Evidence	Supportin Director
IDP PRO NUM	FOCU	- TAO		on the strategic action)		2022	ATHOAL TARGET	RESOURCES REQUIRED	QTR1	QTR2	QTR3	QTR 4	AODIT EVIDENCE	Verification	
	200	to carry out their duties more	institution 2. Ensure that	% of needs in the skills audit that are addressed in the WSP	3%	New indicator	1 = < 42% 2 = 42% - 59% 3 = 60% - 77% 4 = 78% - 89% 5 = 90%+		Not a target in Q1	Not a target in Q2.	Not a target in Q3	1 = < 42% 2 = 42% 59% 3 = 60% - 77% 4 = 78% - 89% 5 = 90%+	Annual Report on the number of trainings initiatives actually undertaken submitted to Training Committee. WSP and Skills Audit alignment report	Director Corporate Services	HR Man
MTITD 01-03 TRAINING AND DEVELOPMENT	Development, implementation of skills plan and submittion of annual training report as legislated			% of funded initiatives that get implemented	3%	New indicator	1 = < 42% 2 = 42% - 59% 3 = 60% - 77% 4 = 78% - 89% 5 = 90%+		Not a target in Q1	Not a target in Q2.	Not a target in Q3		1 Annual Report on the number of trainings initiatives actually undertaken submitted to Training Committee. Training reports validated by the Training committee	Director Corporate Services	HR Man
MTITD 01-C	Developmen submittion of	(200) (100)	tellando parametro (1900)	% of a municipality's budget actually spent on implementing its workplace skills plan by June 2022	3%		1 = < 42% 2 = 42% - 59% 3 = 60% - 77% 4 = 78% - 89% 5 = 90%+		Not a target in Q1	Not a target in Q2	Not a target in Q3	1 = < 42% 2 = 42% - 59% 3 = 60% - 77% 4 = 78% - 89% 5 = 90%+	System Generated report	Director Corporate Services	HR Ma
ANCES OF THE PAST		Equity in the employment of the municipality		% of EE targets aligned to the HR Plan	3%	New indicator		1 = < 42% 2 = 42% - 59% 3 = 60% - 77% 4 = 78% - 89% 5 = 90%+			1 = < 42% = 42% - 59% 3 = 60% - 77% 4 = 78% - 89% 5 = 90%+		Report approved by the Director for Standing Committee for Consideration	Director Corporate Services	HR Ma

ня Малера	HR Manager	HR Menager
Director Corporate Services	SEM and Council	SEM and Council Resolutions
	Council Notification	Council Notification
1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1 = < 42% 2 1		Approved organongram
1 = < 42% 3	1=<42% 2 =42%-59% 3e 60%-77% 4=78% 89% 5=90%+	Not a target this quarter
2 1 = < 42% 3 = 6.42% 59% 3 = 6.42% 59% 3 = 6.90% 75 = 5.90% 61% 61% 61% 61% 61% 61% 61% 61% 61% 61		Not a target this quarter
1 = 4.2% 3= 25% 55% 3= 25% 77% 4=72% 25% 77% 5=50%+ bbsed on 25% of the arrunal larged implemental		Not a target this quarter
1 = 42% 2 = 44% + 55% 3 = 66% - 77% 10% - 68% 5 = 60% 90%	1 = <42% 2 = 42% - 59% 3 = 60% - 77% 4 = 78% - 89% 5 = 90%+	Approved organogem badded with the final
New indicator 1 = < 2 = 43 = 60%+ 90%+ 90%+ 90%+ 90%+ 90%+ 90%+ 90%+ 9	New indector 1 = < 42% - 1 = < 42% - 1 = 2 = 42% - 1 = 60% - 7 = 60% - 7 = 60% - 89%	New indicator Approved the state of the stat
\$6	*6	*6
% of poops from the property regol groups employed in ha i highest levels of organogram	1. Compation of the dead of growing the dead Organopen in the whole Strategies Session Resolutions 2. Instantion coptured in the Ensure that there is deaf organogram constitution with ell the	Aptroved organogram babled with the final budget
		Securoces 3. Technology of the approved structure to Council with the final budge!
	improved acquistion of staff	, , ,
	<u> </u>	AAGEMENT - MTIDOS
KEDKERRIKE		T, SELECTION AND

.

нк мелоде	HR Manager	HR Manager	HR Meneger	HR Managar	HR Manager
1 Report approved by the Traking Committee for Standing Committee for Consideration	Approved	Director Corporate Services	Corporate Corporate Services	Director Corporato Services	Drector Corporate Services
Treshing Raport and ettendance registers	Standing Committee report	Resolution Approving the plan	2 = Geschifton register	Resolution register	Council Resolution Approving the policy
	2 1=<42% 2 3 = 442% 59% 3 = 442% 59% 7 = 478% - 69% 5 = 90% 5		1 = < 42% 42% - 59% 3 = 60 77% 4 = 78%, 88% 5 = 90% + besod on 70 of the resolutions	2 1 = < 42% 2 = 2 = 42% - 59% 3 = 60% - 17% 6 = 60% - 17% 6 = 60% 6 =	Pullay Raviewed
	2 1 = < 42% 2 = 2 = 42% 3 = 2 = 2 = 4 = 2 = 2 = 2 = 2 = 2 = 2 = 2		1 = < 42% 3 = 42% 3 = 62% 59% 3 = 60% 77% 4 = 78% 89% 6 = 90%	1 = 42% 2 = 42% - 59% 3 = 42% - 59% 5 = 50% - 42% 5 = 50% - 42	Not a kerget in CG
1 = < 42% 3 = = 25% 55% 3 = 60% 77% 4 = 75% 58% 5 = 90%	1=<42% =42%-59% 60%-77% 89% S-	1=<00% after the stputched fine. 2= 20% after the stputched fine. 3 = on time. 4 = 20% before the stputched fine. 5> 30% before the stputched fine. 5> 30% before the stputched fine. 5> 30% before the stputched fine. 5>	1 = < 42% 2 = 42% 3 = 42% 59% 3 = 60% .77% 4 = 78% 5 = 90%	1 = 42% = 2 24% = 50% = 3 = 2 50% = 77% = 4 = 15% = 5 50% = 5 = 50% = 5 5 = 50% = 5 = 50% = 5 5 = 50% = 5 = 5 = 5 5 = 5 = 5 = 5 = 5 = 5 = 5 = 5 = 5 = 5 =	Not a target in O2
	1=<42% 2 =42%-59% 3= 60%-77% 4=78%- 89% 5=90%+		1 = < 42% 3 =	1=<42% = 42%-59% 3= 80%-77%-4=78%- 80%-77%-4=78%- based on 70% of the resolutions	Not a target in Q1
1= 42% 3= 42% - 55% 3= 60% - 77% 4= 78% - 89% 90%+	1 = < 42% 2 = 42% 3 2 = 42% 59% 3 60% - 77% 4 u 78% - 89% 5 = 90%+	1 = <0% when the stputched from: 2 = 20% when the stputched from: 3 = 20% before the stputched from: 4 = 20% before the stputched from: 5 > 50% before the effourthed from: 5	1 = < 42% 2 = 42% 3 = 2 = 42% 59% 3 = 60% - 77% 5 = 80% - 5884 on 70% of the resolutions	1 = < 42% 2 = 42% 50% - 77% 4 = 73% - 60% - 5 = 500% - 5 = 500% - 5 = 500% - 70% of the resolutions	Report on the constitution processes undertaken
New indicator 1 = < 42% 2 = 42% - 72 = 60% - 77	New indicator 1 = < 4.2% - 5 = 4.2% - 5 = 6.0% - 7.7% = 6.0% - 7.7% = 6.0% - 7.7% - 8.9% 9.9% 9.9% 9.9%	New indicator to 40% of the control	New indicator 1 = < 4,2% - 29	New indicator 1 = < 4.2% 5 = 4	New indicator Report
\$£	%	£	5	ž.	*6
y % of fee managers who ess trined in consequence management	% of investigations completed within the prescribed timefames	Approved OHS plan	% resolutions sent to Develorates on time with extraorate feedback in the next meeting	% resolutions sent to Directorizes on time with Extraction of time with the next meeting	Report on the constitution processes indicated in indicat
Ensure that disciplinary Et investigations are competed with the preached timetemes.		Review the OHS plan Track the implementation of the plan		1. Track the implementation of the plan	1 Enars that of consultation to the consultation to the consultation of the consultati
Increased accountability y trough consequence managemen t		To ensure that eld Senque buildings and staff eddere to end implement Only Service to end implement Only Service to Servi		To enture a good referentity between menagement i end employees trough regular interactors on lebour lesues	Improved human ceptizal meragemen (within bio muniposity, Creation of an environment that effores her effores her effores
EMPLOYEE MAN		SAMILW - 1.2 P. O.	seevolgm3 faqisimulii to tmermor		-
RECRIMINEN		SVORT ALITYS	MA HELEATH AND THE MET HE	FOCAL LABOUR FORUM - MITD 06	

HR Manager	HR Manager	HR Manager	
Drector Corporets Services	Dractor Corporate Services	Drector Corporeta Services	
Council Resolution Approving the policy	Signed Performance Agreements	Signed Performance Plans	
11=<41% 242%-55% 60%-77% 89% 5=90%+	Hot a target in G4	Not a target in Q4	
Not a target in G3	Not a tarpet in CO	Not a tarpet in C3	
Not e target in O2	2 Net a kropet in CZ	2 Not a target in O2 6-	
Not a terget in O1	1 = < 42% 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	1 = < 42% 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
2 = 42% 59% 3 = 42% - 59% 3 = 60% - 77% 4 = 78% - 89% 5 = 90%	1 = < 42% 2 = 42% - 59% 3 = 60% - 77% 4 = 78% - 89% 5 = 90% +	1 = < 42% 2 = 42% - 59% 3 = 60% - 77% 4 = 78% - 89% 5 = 90% +	
New Indeetin	Now indees:	New indicator	
6	£	£.	45%
% pomotes ecoopad by June 2023	Sgring of Performence Agreements by the Aunicipal Menager and at Secton 56 Menagers by September 2022	Sgring of Performance agreements by the Managers with their respective Directors by September 2022	Sub Total Weight 45%
performance et opfimal fovel			
998	smoheq bevorans		
TO CITIM - EMITRO-GEN	PERPORMANCE MANAGEMENT AND		

Director		Managers Managers	All Sectional Managers	1. Council Manager Resolution Administratio Approving the n and Public Policy	Manager Administratio n and Public Participation
Means of Fyidence	Verification	Signature by Counting Millerseed by Responsible Director / Mgr	Signature by ARC Chair witnessed by Responsible Director / Mgr	1. Council Resolution Approving the Policy	Standing Committee Submission
AUDIT FUDENCE		Resolution Register	Resolution Register	Approved Policy	2. 4 quarterly reports Standing exproved by the Director for Committee Suhmission consideration
	QTR4	3 = 60% 4 = 78% 5 = 90%	1=<42% 2= 42%-59% 3=60%- 77% 4=78%- 89% 5=90%+	1 = <30% after the stpullated time; 2 = 20% after the stpullated time; 3 = on time; 4 = 20% before the stpullated time; 5> 30% before the stipulated time; 5> 50% before the stipulated time.	3 = 4 = 78% 5 = 90%+
QUARTERLY TARGETS	QTR3	3 = 2 = 3 = 60% 4 = 75% 5 = 90%+	2% 59% 3 = 60% 2% 59% 3 = 60% 77% 4 = 78% - 59% 5 = 90%+		2 1 = <42% 3 = 42% - 59 4 = 78% - 60% - 774 4 = 78% - 60% - 774 5 = 90% + 89% 5 = 90% + 89%
QUARTERL	QTR 2	1 = 42% 2 = 2 = 42% 3 = 60% 4 = 28% 5 = 90% 4 = 28% 5 = 90% 4 = 28% 5 = 90% 5	1 = < 42% 2 = 42% 3 = 60% - 77% 4 = 78% - 59% 5 = 90% + 600% 5 = 90% + 600% 5 = 90% + 600% 5 = 90% + 600% 5 = 90% + 600% 5 = 90% + 600% 5 = 90% + 600% 5 = 90% + 600% 5 = 90% + 600% 5 = 90% 5 = 90% + 600% 5 = 90% + 600% 5 = 90% + 600% 5 = 90% + 600% 5 = 90		1 = < 42% 3 = 42% 5 5 9% 4 = 78% 4 = 78% 5 = 90% 5 = 9
	arr 1	1 = < 42% 2 = 2 = 42% 5 % 3 = 60% 4 = 78% 5 = 90% 4 = 78% 5 = 90% 4 = 78% 5 = 90% 6 =	1=<42% 2= 1-42%-59% 3=60% -77% 4=78%- 89% 5=90%+		1=<42% 3= =42%-59% 3= 60%-77% 4=78%- 89% 5=90%+
INPUT - CHANGE TO ADDITIONAL	RESOURCES				
TADOST TADOST	Towns Towns	1 = < 42% 3 = 2 = 60% 73% 4 = 59% 5 = 50% 4 = 50% 5 = 50% 5 = 500%	1 = < 42% 2 = 42% -59% 3 = 60% - 77% 4 = 78% - 89% 5 = 90%+	1 = <30% after the stoudesed time; 2 = 20% after the = 2 = 20% after the shoulded time; 3 = 0.0 time; 4 = 2.0 times time; 5 = 30% before the stipulated time; 5 = 30% before the stipulated time; 10 = 2.0 times times time; 10 = 2.0 times time	1 = < 42% 2 = 42% - 59% 3 = 60% - 77% 4 = 78% - 89% 5 = 90% +
BASELINE 30 JUNE	2022	New indicator	New Indicator	New indicator	New indicator
79		%2 D	5%	5%	5%
INDIVIDUAL KPI (Based	on the strategic action)	% of Overal Council Recolutions implemented on time as intended per quarter	% of Overall ARC, MARA, Top Executive and Senior Executive Mgt Resolutions implemented on time as intended per quarter	Sproportion revieweled Public Participation Policy by June 2023	% of Ward Committee engagements/ interactions held
		Oversees all structures with and one is a structured, within MMs Mandabe within MMs Mandabe Mecond & roll call every resolution by catalogue security and solution for a structure and Solution Myll. Record for each resolution. Implementation stages resolution, and a structure and solution resolution. Implementation stages are a structured for each resolution. Implementation stages are manipulated to stage and a stage of the stag		Review the Public Participation Policy 2. Oversee that the engagements are held	8
COME	otuo	Decision- drean organisation organisation at all levels of the archerise organisation organisati	18	To ensure regular interaction with the public through the public public perforpsion plan, imbicos and imbicos and imbicos and imbicos and	meetings such as ippF
ABRA 8	NUN	Sesolutions made by Mandated Municipal Structures	uswejdunj	12	
GRAMME		GGP903-05		ON GGPP04-01-05	ITA910ITRA9 21BU9 & 3

сомминісьтюмя, маккетіма, сизтомер с

TIONS, MARKETING, CUSTOMER C		Oversee that IPPF resolutions are implemented	% resolutions sent to Stakeholders on time with subsequent feedback in the next meeting	3%	New indicator	1 = <30% after the stipulated time; 2 = 20% after the actipulated time; 3 = on time; 4 = 20% before the stipulated time; 5> 30% before the stipulated time; 5> me tipulated time; 5> 30% before the stipulated time	1 = <30% efter the stipulated time; 2 = 20% efter the stipulated time; 3 = on time; 4 = 20% before the stipulated time; 5-30% before the stipulated time; 5-30% before the stipulated time	20% after the stipulated time; 3 = on time; 4 = 20% before the stipulated time; 5>	1 = <30% after the stipulated time; 2 = 20% after the stipulated time; 3 = on time; 4 = 20% before the stipulated time; 5> 30% before the stipulated time.	1 = <30% efter the exputeted time; 2 = 20% efter the stiputeted time; 3 = on time; 4 = 20% before the exputeted time; 5 > 30% before the exputeted time; 5 > 30% before the exputeted time	4 Reports on Tracked Resolutions Implemented	Updated Resolution Register	Manager Administratio in and Public Perticipation
COMMUNICATION		Oversee that the Mayoral Budget Speech takes place by June 2022	Mayoral Budget Speech held by June 2023	3%	New indicator		Not a target in Q1	Not a target in Q2	Not a target in Q3	Preparatory Meeting and Budget Speech Held	Proof of meeting held	1. Advert, 2. Purchase Order of the Slot in Radio stations or attendabce registerd if	Administratio n and Public
	the mainstrea ing and	1. Developing en HIV/Aids Strategy and 2. Overseeing the development of an HIV/Aids plan 3. Implementation of the oten	%/proportion of approved HIV/Aids Strategy	2%	New indicator	1 = <30% after the stipulated time; 2 = 20% after the stipulated time; 3 = on time; 4 = 20% before the stipulated fine; 5>	Not a target in Q1		1 = <30% after the stipulated time; 2 = 20% after the stipulated time; 3 = on time; 4 = 20% before the stipulated time; 5> 30% before the stipulated time; 3> 30% before the stipulated.		Approved Strategy	meating held Strategy Adopted by Council	Manager Administratio n and Public Participation
	women a chidren, youth, people w disabilities and the elderly in:	th	Approved HIV/Aids plan	2%	New indicator	1 = <30% after the stipulated time; 2 = 20% after the stipulated time; 3 = on time; 4 = 20% before the stipulated time; 5>	Not a target in Q1	Not a target in C2		1 = <30% after the stipulated time; 2 = 20% after the stipulated time; 3 = on time; 4 = 20% before the stipulated time; 5> 30% before the stipulated time; 5>		SEM Resolution	Manager Administratio n and Public Participation
REAMING - GGPP05	municipal Socio- Economia Programs s and Projects	1. Oversee the development of en SPU Activity Plan 2.		2%	New indicator	1 = <30% after the stipulated time; 2 = 20% after the stipulated time; 3 = on time; 4 = 20% before the 1 = < 42%	Not a target in Q1 1 = < 42% 2		1 = <30% after the stipulated time; 2 = 20% after the stipulated time; 3 = on time; 4 = 20% before the stipulated time; 5> 1 = < 42% 6		Approved SPU Activity plan Quarterly reports on	Resolution Standing	Manager Administratio n and Public Participation
MAINSTRE			The restretions in the state of the approved plan		New Places	2 = 42% - 59% 3 = 60% - 77% 4 = 78% - 89% 5 = 90%+	= 42% - 59% 3 #	= 42%-59% 3 = -60%-77% 4 = 78% 39% 5 = 90%+	= 42%-59% 3 = 60%-77% 4 = 78% 89% 5 = 90%+	= 42%-59% 3 = 1 60%-77% 4 = 78%- 89% 5 = 90%+	interventions implemented	Committee Submission	Administration and Public Participation
			Sub Total Weight	22%									

Employee's Name: Zimasa Koyana

Employee Signature:

100%

Date of Signing:

Supervising Manager's Name and Title: MM Yawa

Supervisors Signature

Date of Signing:

Corporate Services Performance Mgt Unit Witness's Name (Representing Performance Mgt Office):HV Manzi

TOTAL

Witness's Signature

Date of Signing

PART E: COMPETENCE - BASED INDIVIDUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN - WEIGHTING OF 20%

This Pat of the Performance Agreement is about the development of the employee. Development here has been divided into three aspects in order to meet all the employee work and personal development needs as provided for by the Skills development legislation of South Africa. The focus is on ensuring every employee develops and grows every year since job demands and the broader. environment is constantly changing.

of pursuing and accomplishing. Alternately, even after they go all the way to accomplish these competences, the organisation seems to be oblivious of this accomplishment. Further, because inconsequential principles are selected individual Development Plans (IDPs) managers will often take staff of their planned development routes already agreed and co-signed by both parties at will. This Plant E is The competence development in this Part E has been made measurable to stop the habit of employees always listing competence areas which they have no intention meant to change that culture, shifting the acquisition to be as important an performance achievement as achieving any other targets. A previous skills audit against competence area agreed between the employee and his/her manager is assumed. If there has been no formal skills audit done, anecodolal self and manager performance-based audits will have to be used to initiate the process of finding meaningful baselines).

The following assumptions are important to fulfil, namely;

1. For the employee's Manager to;

- o Provide all resources (time, money, transport etc.) to afford the employee the desired development
- To subsequently look out for new work challenges that will use as much of the employee's new competences
- o To ensure that its Part E is appropriately scored and connective action taken just like all other sections of the Performance Scorecard. Similarly that it is given its due weighting in calculating the overall performance rating of the employee. o To ensure that the compatences desired and pursued by all employees in her I his Business unit comply with the municipal Workplace Skills Development Plan (WSP)

2. For the employee to;

- Complete all agreed training and development to the required competence levels
- Pash for and exjoy implementing the newly acquired competences rather than let than die off
 Ensure that they take the planning and scoring of this Part E of the Scorecard as seriously as all other parts or else suffer the pain of being nated lowly in this area.

3. Below is the rating scale used to determine and calibrate competence targets

Name of Employee: Zimasa Koyana

Current Job Role / Title: Director Corporate Services

Name of Cluster / Department Where Employed: Corporate Services
Period Cowered by this Part B of the Employee's Performance Plan: 1st July, 2022 to 30th June 2023

Number and		System of the Control	Baseline (Current Competence Profile	nce Profile	argered Compensates Caver (170x one or	TCK OTHE OF UTESE TWO	Budget to achieve this	Method to be used to acquire this	Means of Evidence	Assessment of C	Assessment of Competence Achievement / Individual Dev Levels Attained	fividual Dev Levels Attained			
med	KPI	Competence			const	Advanced	competence		Verification (MoVs)	Planned Target	Act	Actual Deviation		Rating score for Performance Purposes	e Purposes
1. Advance Excel															
2 NIA	% level of competence on the 5 level scale used in the	he 5 level scale										-			
3 NA												4			
Sub-Total			Average of Competence level in selected areas = 7?	_	Average Targeted Competence level in se	e level in selected = ??	11	Most Preferred Methods =	Most preferred MOVs =	Average Planned Target = C?		Actuals in Average for this Section =	ction =	Average Rating Score for this Section of Part E =	is Section of
Section 2: General Manageri.	11 / Employee Personal Dev	Section 2: General Managerial / Employee Personal Development - 100% of Part E for Year 2021/22 (these are related to Directorate's Core Functions in Part B of the Scorecard - Taken	11/22 (these are related to Director	rate's Core Functions in Pa	art B of the Scorecas		rom the Psychometric Assessment Report							and the land of the same of th	
Number and			Beerline (Current	Targeted Competence Level (Tick one of these two possible C levels)	rvel (Tick one of the	te two possible C levels)					Assu	Assessment of Competence Achievement / Individual Dev Levels Attained	rement / Individual De	Dev Levels Attained	
Name of Identified Competence	KPI	Weighting of the KPI / Competence	Competence Profile level e.g. Competent Level)	Competent Level	Advanced		Budget to achieve this competence	Method to be used to acquire this competence	Means of Evidence Verification (MoVs)	Planned Ac Target	Actual		Deviation from targ financial year end)	et (reflected at	Rating score for Performance Purposes (at year year end)
2.1 Strategic Direction and		33,30%	Competent		Advanced		22	with a sender provider		Advanced Co	Competent				
Management	% level of competence on	33,30%	Competent		Advanced		11	ed self-education	Most preferred MOVs = Certification by the same	Advanced Co	Competent				
2.3. Planning and organising	the 5 level scale	33,30%	Competent		Advanced		11	coaching, formal blended self-education with a service provider	Service Providers	Advanced	Competent				
Sub -Total: Section 2			Average of Selected 100% Managerial Competences levels = Competent	Average of Selected Managerial Targeted Competences Advanced	nagerial Targeted Co	mpetences levels =	22	Most Preferred Methods = online or blended Psychometric Service learning Provider	Certified by the Accredited Psychometric Service Provider	Average Planned Target =	Average for Actuals in this Section # Competent	tion = Competent	Average der	Average deviation for this Section =	Average Rating Score for Section 2 of Part E =
GRAND TOTAL (Sections 1 & 2)	13		Average of Selected 100% Managerial Competences levels = Competent	Total of Selected Managerial Targeted Competences levels = Advanced	erial Targeted Comp	etences levels =	22	Average Most Preferred Methods = online or blended Most preferred MOVs = Re-Planned learning test by Pyrchometrificist I Targer Advance	Most preferred MOVs = Re- test by Pyschometribicist		Average for Actuals in Section 2 = Competent?	2 = Competent?	Average for	Average for Section 2 =	Average Rating Score for Section 2 of Part E =

Employee's Name: Zimasa Koyana

Supervising Manager's Name and Title: Mxolisi Maxson Yawa Municipal Manager

Employee Signature: Z Koyana

Supervisors Signature

Date of Signing:

Date of Signing:

Witness's Signature Corporate Services Performance Mgt Unit Witness's Name (Representing Performance Mgt Office): Hlumsia V Manzi

Date of Signing